ISSN: 2169-026X Open Access

An Overview of Organizational Analysis

Ameet Deol*

Department of Finance and Accounting, University of Sfax, Tunisia

Editorial

In organisational theory, organisational analysis, also known as industrial analysis, is the process of examining a company's development, work environment, staff, and operations [1]. This evaluation is frequently conducted in response to a crisis, but it can also be conducted as part of a demonstration project, as part of the process of scaling up a programme, or as part of routine operations. Conducting a detailed organisational analysis on a regular basis can help management discover problems or inefficiencies that have occurred but have yet to be addressed, as well as establish plans for addressing them. The structure and design of the organisation, as well as how the organization's systems, capacity, and functionality influence outputs, are the subject of organisational analysis [2]. When determining how to improve efficiency, other internal and external elements are taken into account. An organisational analysis is useful for assessing a company's existing health and capability, as well as deciding on a course of action to improve the company's long-term viability. When external or internal influences have created a problem or an opportunity for efficiency and effectiveness improvement, an organisation may need to be restructured.

When doing an organisational analysis, many facts regarding the organization's functions and capabilities emerge. With all of these details, determining what is efficient and what is inefficient can be challenging. The use of theoretical organisational models can aid in the sorting of data and make it easier to make connections. The current issue of the organisation is better handled after working through these theoretical models, and the organization's direction may be more completely identified. This methodology uses three main calculations to measure an organization's efficiency and effectiveness. The first is the organization's guiding value, or mission [3]. The second factor is operational capacity, which refers to the knowledge and competence to complete the objective. The third factor is legitimacy and support, or the environment, which validates the organization's worth and provides assistance (specifically financial support). According to this approach, an organization's strategy is good if these three components are in sync.

A SWOT analysis (also known as a SWOT matrix) is a structured planning tool for assessing the strengths, weaknesses, opportunities, and threats that a project or business venture faces. A SWOT analysis can be performed on a product, location, industry, or individual. It entails defining the business endeavour or project's goal and identifying the internal and external factors that are favourable and unfavourable to achieving that goal. The concept of

strategic fit describes the degree to which an entity's internal environment matches its external environment. The rational model is based on Frederick W. Taylor's Structural Perspective (1911) [4]. Taylor is known as the "Father of Time-and-Motion Studies" and the founder of the "scientific management" method. Taylor advocated for organisations to be as mechanical and efficient as possible. The Ford Motor Company, which manufactured the first mass-produced automobiles in the United States, benefited greatly from these Scientific Management ideas. Organizations, according to the rational model, are viewed as a mechanism made up of various pieces that may be updated to produce an output in the shortest amount of time and with the least amount of variation [5].

In many ways, the natural system model is the polar opposite of the rational model in that it concentrates on actions that may have a negative impact on the organisation and hence strives to maintain equilibrium in order to achieve its objectives. Organizations are viewed as organic organisms that are holistically integrated in the Natural System paradigm. The organization's components are considered as a whole that can orchestrate together to prepare for inevitable change, rather than as separate pieces. The sociotechnical model, commonly known as Sociotechnical Systems (STS), is a method of complex organisational work design that takes into account the interplay of people and technology in the workplace. Sociotechnical models are designed to maximise both social and technology work subsystems. The phrase also refers to how society's complex infrastructures interact with human behaviour. The environment is identified as a key factor that interacts with the organisation in this approach.

Reference

- Dahlgaard-Park, Su Mi, and Jens J. Dahlgaard. "In search of excellence-past, present and future." Kreativ und konsequent 3 (2006): 57-84.
- Bolman, Lee G, Terrence E. Deal. Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.
- Gephart, Robert P,Rohny Saylors. "Qualitative designs and methodologies for business, management, and organizational research." In Oxford Research Encyclopedia of Business and Management. 2020.
- Bandura, Albert. "Organisational applications of social cognitive theory." Aust J Manag 2 (1988): 275-302.
- Bolman, Lee G, Terrence E. Deal. Reframing organizations: Artistry, choice, and leadership. John Wiley & Sons, 2017.

How to cite this article: Deol, Ameet. "An Overview of Organizational Analysis." J Entrepren Organiz Manag 11 (2022): 352.

*Address for correspondence: Ameet Deol, Department of Finance and Accounting, University of Sfax, Tunisia, E-mail:ameet.d@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2022 Deol A. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received 10 February, 2022, Manuscript No.jeom-22-56098; Editor assigned: 12 March, 2022, Pre QC No. P-56098; Reviewed: 15 February, QC No.Q-56098; Revised: 20 February, 2022; Manuscript No. R-56098; Published: 25 February, 2022, DOI:10.37421/jeom.2022.11.352.