

Consumption and Expenditure of Direct Oral Anticoagulants during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Russia and Associated Clinical Practice Guidelines

Siddle Fabiana*

Department of General and Clinical Pharmacology, University College London, Gower St, UK

Description

The COVID-19 pandemic has profoundly affected global healthcare systems, reshaping treatment protocols and altering the dynamics of pharmaceutical consumption and expenditure. Among the therapeutic areas impacted, the use of anticoagulants has seen significant shifts due to the pandemic's thromboembolic complications and their management. In Russia, the consumption and expenditure of direct oral anticoagulants provide a compelling case study of how clinical needs and policy decisions intersect during a global health crisis [1]. This analysis examines the trends in DOAC usage, their economic implications, and the role of clinical practice guidelines in standardizing their use during the pandemic. DOACs, which include medications such as apixaban, rivaroxaban, dabigatran, and edoxaban, have revolutionized anticoagulation therapy by offering a safer and more convenient alternative to traditional agents like warfarin. Their predictable pharmacokinetics and reduced need for routine monitoring make them particularly appealing in managing conditions such as atrial fibrillation, venous thromboembolism, and pulmonary embolism. The pandemic highlighted their importance further, given the heightened risk of thrombosis among COVID-19 patients. In Russia, the increase in DOAC consumption during this period was driven by the dual need to address routine anticoagulation therapy and manage COVID-19-induced coagulopathies [2].

The early months of the pandemic saw a surge in scientific investigations linking COVID-19 to hypercoagulability and thrombotic events. Clinical observations indicated that severe cases often involved complications such as deep vein thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, and micro vascular thrombosis. These findings prompted recommendations for anticoagulant use in COVID-19 treatment protocols, even in patients without prior indications for such therapy. Russian healthcare providers quickly adapted to these emerging guidelines, resulting in a significant uptick in DOAC prescriptions. Hospitals and outpatient facilities reported increased demand for these medications, reflecting their integration into both preventive and therapeutic regimens for COVID-19 patients. The increased demand for DOACs had a notable impact on pharmaceutical expenditure in Russia. The economic strain of the pandemic extended beyond direct healthcare costs, encompassing the financial burden of securing adequate supplies of essential medications. As DOACs are relatively high-cost drugs compared to traditional anticoagulants, their expanded use placed additional pressure on healthcare budgets. Government procurement programs and private healthcare providers faced challenges in balancing the need for equitable access to these medications with fiscal constraints. Efforts to negotiate prices and ensure supply chain stability became critical components of managing this increased expenditure [3].

Parallel to the rise in consumption and expenditure, the pandemic underscored the importance of clinical practice guidelines in guiding

***Address for Correspondence:** Siddle Fabiana, Department of General and Clinical Pharmacology, University College London, Gower St, UK; E-mail: siddlefabiana@yahoo.com

Copyright: © 2024 Fabiana S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 November, 2024, Manuscript No. PE-24-156346; **Editor Assigned:** 04 November, 2024, PreQC No. P-156346; **Reviewed:** 18 November, 2024, QC No. Q-156346; **Revised:** 23 November, 2024, Manuscript No. R-156346; **Published:** 30 November, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2472-1042.2024.9.253

anticoagulant use. The Russian healthcare system, like many others, relied on evidence-based recommendations to navigate the complexities of treating COVID-19 patients. National and international guidelines provided a framework for determining when and how DOACs should be used, balancing the benefits of preventing thrombotic complications against the risks of bleeding. These guidelines evolved rapidly as new evidence emerged, reflecting the dynamic nature of the pandemic and the corresponding need for adaptability in clinical decision-making.

For instance, early recommendations emphasized the use of anticoagulants in hospitalized COVID-19 patients with elevated D-dimer levels or other indicators of coagulopathy. Over time, as understanding of the disease deepened, guidelines were refined to address specific patient populations, such as those with mild symptoms managed in outpatient settings or critically ill patients in intensive care units. Russian clinicians faced the challenge of interpreting these guidelines within the context of their healthcare system, considering factors such as drug availability, patient demographics, and resource limitations. The interplay between guideline adherence and real-world practice revealed both strengths and gaps in the healthcare system. On the one hand, the structured approach provided by clinical guidelines facilitated standardized care and improved patient outcomes. On the other hand, variations in implementation highlighted disparities in access and expertise across different regions of Russia. Urban centers with advanced medical facilities were better equipped to adopt guideline-recommended practices, while rural areas faced significant barriers, including limited access to DOACs and shortages of trained healthcare personnel [4].

Moreover, the pandemic accelerated the adoption of telemedicine and digital tools in managing anticoagulant therapy. Virtual consultations and remote monitoring enabled healthcare providers to maintain continuity of care for patients on long-term anticoagulation therapy, minimizing their exposure to healthcare settings and reducing the risk of COVID-19 transmission. These innovations also supported adherence to clinical guidelines, allowing for timely dose adjustments and monitoring of treatment outcomes. However, the reliance on digital solutions highlighted existing inequities in healthcare access, particularly in regions with limited internet connectivity or technological infrastructure. From a public health perspective, the expanded use of DOACs during the pandemic has implications beyond the immediate context of COVID-19. The increased awareness of thrombosis and its management among healthcare providers and the general population may contribute to earlier diagnosis and treatment of thromboembolic conditions in the future. Additionally, the lessons learned in balancing cost, access, and clinical efficacy can inform broader strategies for integrating high-cost medications into routine care.

The economic implications of increased DOAC consumption warrant particular attention. While the immediate priority during the pandemic was ensuring access to life-saving medications, the long-term sustainability of healthcare financing remains a pressing concern. Policymakers and healthcare administrators must consider strategies for optimizing resource allocation, such as fostering competition among pharmaceutical manufacturers, promoting the use of generic alternatives, and implementing value-based pricing models. These measures can help mitigate the financial impact of high-cost drugs while ensuring their continued availability to patients who need them. The pandemic has also underscored the importance of international collaboration in addressing global health challenges. Sharing data and experiences related to DOAC use in different healthcare settings can enhance the collective understanding of best practices and inform the development of universally applicable clinical guidelines. For Russia, participation in such collaborative

efforts offers an opportunity to contribute to and benefit from the global pool of knowledge, strengthening its healthcare system's resilience against future crises [5].

The COVID-19 pandemic has significantly influenced the consumption and expenditure of direct oral anticoagulants in Russia, reflecting the critical role of these medications in managing thrombotic complications associated with the virus. The interplay between clinical needs, economic considerations, and guideline-based practice underscores the complexity of healthcare delivery during a global crisis. By examining the patterns of DOAC use and their implications, we can draw valuable lessons for optimizing anticoagulant therapy, improving healthcare equity, and ensuring the sustainability of pharmaceutical expenditures in the face of ongoing and future challenges. The experience of managing DOACs during the pandemic serves as a testament to the resilience and adaptability of the healthcare system, highlighting the importance of evidence-based decision-making and the need for continuous innovation in clinical practice.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Baybulatova Elena A, Mikhail S. Chenkurov, Elina A. Korovyakova and Sergey K. Zyryanov, et al. "Direct Oral Anticoagulants' Consumption and Expenditure in the COVID-19 Pandemic in Russia and Clinical Practice Guidelines for Their Use." *Pharmacoepidemiology* 3 (2023): 1-32.
2. Omboni Stefano, Raj S. Padwal, Tourkiah Alessa and Béla Benczúr, et al. "The worldwide impact of telemedicine during COVID-19: current evidence and recommendations for the future." *Connected health* 1 (2022): 7.
3. Berretta Andresa Aparecida, Marcelo Augusto Duarte Silveira, José Manuel Córdor Capcha and David De Jong. "Propolis and its potential against SARS-CoV-2 infection mechanisms and COVID-19 disease: Running title: Propolis against SARS-CoV-2 infection and COVID-19." *Biomed Pharmacother* 131 (2020): 110622.
4. Gerotziafas Grigoris T, Mariella Catalano, Yiannis Theodorou and Patrick Van Dreden, et al. "The COVID-19 pandemic and the need for an integrated and equitable approach: An international expert consensus paper." *TH* 121 (2021): 992-1007.
5. Magro Fernando, Alexandre Nuzzo, Cândida Abreu and Diogo Libânio, et al. "COVID-19 in gastroenterology: where are we now? Current evidence on the impact of COVID-19 in gastroenterology." *UEG J* 9 (2021): 750-765.

How to cite this article: Fabiana, Siddle. "Consumption and Expenditure of Direct Oral Anticoagulants during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Russia and Associated Clinical Practice Guidelines." *Pharmacoeconomics* 9 (2024): 253.