

Diagnosis and Management of an Atypical Case of Sepsis in an Immunocompromised Patient

Maximus Lynch*

Department of Surgery, Medicine, Dentistry and Morphological Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy

Introduction

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition characterized by a dysregulated host response to infection, leading to systemic inflammation, organ dysfunction, and, if left untreated, death. In immunocompromised patients, such as those undergoing chemotherapy, organ transplantation, or with conditions like HIV/AIDS, the presentation of sepsis can be atypical, often complicating both diagnosis and treatment. The immune system's impaired ability to mount a robust response to infection may lead to subtle or delayed signs of sepsis, making it particularly challenging to identify early and initiate timely interventions. Immunocompromised individuals are at heightened risk for opportunistic infections, including bacterial, viral, fungal, and parasitic pathogens, which can rapidly escalate to sepsis. However, the clinical manifestations in these patients are often less pronounced than in immunocompetent individuals, with fever being absent or muted, and inflammatory markers such as White Blood Cell count (WBC) or C-Reactive Protein (CRP) potentially failing to reflect the severity of infection. Furthermore, the use of immunosuppressive therapies, including corticosteroids and biologics, can further mask typical symptoms, further obscuring the diagnosis. The pathogenesis of sepsis in immunocompromised patients can be complex, involving direct infection of tissues, dissemination of pathogens from indwelling medical devices, or reactivation of latent infections. Additionally, the choice of appropriate empirical antibiotics, the need for source control, and the recognition of multi-organ dysfunction are key components of management in these high-risk populations. This case report highlights the diagnosis and management case of sepsis in an immunocompromised patient, emphasizing the challenges of early recognition, the need for a high index of suspicion, and the importance of tailored therapeutic strategies to improve outcomes in this vulnerable group. We will explore how immunosuppressive therapy, concomitant infections, and the patient's clinical presentation all played critical roles in both delaying the diagnosis and guiding the management of sepsis in this case [1].

Description

Sepsis is a life-threatening condition resulting from the body's systemic response to infection, leading to widespread inflammation, endothelial dysfunction, and organ dysfunction or failure. It remains a leading cause of morbidity and mortality worldwide, particularly among patients with compromised immune systems. In immunocompromised patients those with conditions such as cancer, organ transplantation, autoimmune diseases, or HIV/AIDS sepsis presents unique diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. These patients are at increased risk of severe infections due to their weakened immune defenses, but their clinical presentation may often be subtle or atypical, delaying recognition and treatment. In immunocompromised individuals, the typical signs of sepsis such as fever, tachycardia, leukocytosis, and hypotension—may be absent or less pronounced. Fever, a hallmark symptom

***Address for Correspondence:** Maximus Lynch, Department of Surgery, Medicine, Dentistry and Morphological Sciences, University of Modena and Reggio Emilia, Modena, Italy, E-mail: lynch.maximus@unimed.edu

Copyright: © 2024 Lynch M. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 November 2024, Manuscript No. jccr-25-159112; **Editor assigned:** 04 November 2024, PreQC No. P-159112; **Reviewed:** 16 November 2024, QC No. Q-159112; **Revised:** 22 November 2024, Manuscript No. R-159112; **Published:** 30 November 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2165-7920.2024.14.1630

of sepsis, may not appear due to impaired pyrexia responses, particularly in patients receiving corticosteroids or other immunosuppressive therapies that blunt the inflammatory response. Similarly, the normal rise in White Blood Cell (WBC) count may be attenuated or absent, making it harder to detect infection early. In fact, WBC levels may be low, as a result of bone marrow suppression or the effects of ongoing immunosuppressive treatment, further complicating diagnosis. In addition, the classic signs of infection, such as localized pain or swelling, may be masked by the immunocompromised state. Patients may not exhibit the typical inflammatory response, which can lead to the lack of a clear source of infection. For example, in patients receiving chemotherapy, the absence of granulocytes (neutropenia) leaves the body unable to mount an effective response to a bacterial or fungal infection, which can progress to sepsis without the usual warning signs [2].

Immunocompromised patients are more vulnerable to a wide variety of pathogens, and the etiology of sepsis in this group can be diverse. Common sources of infection include bacteria that typically do not cause harm in healthy individuals can become pathogenic in immunocompromised patients. These include *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Enterococcus*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. In neutropenic patients (those with low white blood cell counts), infections originating from the gut or skin, especially from indwelling catheters or surgical wounds, can lead to systemic infection and sepsis. Fungal infections, particularly from *Candida spp.*, *Aspergillus spp.*, and *Mucor*, are common in immunocompromised patients. Fungal sepsis is often difficult to diagnose, as symptoms may not present in the early stages. Additionally, fungal pathogens may evade detection in blood cultures or imaging studies, leading to a delay in therapy.

Immunocompromised individuals are at higher risk for reactivation of latent viral infections, including Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV), Cytomegalovirus (CMV) and Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV), which can lead to sepsis or septic shock. The diagnosis of viral sepsis is challenging because viral pathogens are not routinely cultured from blood and may not be detected on standard panels. Hospital-acquired infections are a significant concern in this population, particularly among those with central venous catheters, urinary catheters, or mechanical ventilation. *Acinetobacter* and *Clostridium difficile* are examples of pathogens that may contribute to sepsis in this setting. The use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, while aiming to prevent infections, can paradoxically promote multidrug-resistant organisms, which complicates treatment. In immunocompromised patients, infections like tuberculosis, Varicella Zoster Virus (VZV), or fungal infections may be dormant for long periods before reactivating and causing severe sepsis. Diagnosing reactivated infections requires a high level of suspicion, as these may not be included in the differential diagnosis of sepsis in the early stages. The diagnosis of sepsis in immunocompromised patients is challenging because their clinical symptoms may not fit the usual criteria used to diagnose sepsis in immunocompetent patients. Classic signs of systemic inflammation, such as fever, tachycardia, and hypotension, may be muted or absent, particularly if the patient is receiving immunosuppressive medications. Similarly, laboratory markers commonly used to assess the severity of sepsis, including C-reactive protein, procalcitonin and white blood cell count may not reflect the severity of infection [3].

In many cases, sepsis may only be suspected after organ dysfunction becomes apparent. This may include renal failure (elevated creatinine and oliguria), respiratory failure (hypoxia and respiratory acidosis), or altered mental status, which can be mistaken for other complications of underlying conditions, such as chemotherapy toxicity or metabolic derangements. This delayed recognition can lead to a poor prognosis if appropriate treatment is not initiated in a timely manner. Management of sepsis in immunocompromised

patients involves prompt recognition, aggressive resuscitation, and appropriate antimicrobial therapy. Given the diverse potential causes of infection in these patients, early broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy is essential while awaiting culture results. Empiric therapy should cover the most common pathogens, including gram-positive, gram-negative, and fungal organisms, and should be tailored based on local resistance patterns. In cases where a fungal or viral etiology is suspected, antifungal and antiviral agents may be added. Source control is also a critical component of managing sepsis in immunocompromised patients. This may involve the removal of indwelling medical devices, drainage of infected fluids, or surgical intervention if an abscess or necrotizing infection is identified. In some cases, aggressive antifungal or antiviral therapy is required to control the infection [4].

Additionally, sepsis in immunocompromised patients often involves multiple organ systems, requiring multi-disciplinary care. Invasive monitoring (e.g., central venous pressure, arterial line) may be necessary for guiding fluid resuscitation and managing septic shock. Organ support, including mechanical ventilation, renal replacement therapy (dialysis), or vasopressors, may be needed to maintain hemodynamic stability during the acute phase. Lastly, a careful review of the patient's immunosuppressive medications and underlying medical conditions is critical. Adjustments may be needed to balance the need for immunosuppression (in cases of autoimmune diseases or organ transplantation) with the increased risk of infection. The prognosis for immunocompromised patients with sepsis is generally poorer than for immunocompetent patients, largely due to the delayed diagnosis and the higher likelihood of multi-organ failure. Mortality rates can be higher, especially if the sepsis is caused by resistant organisms or if there is a delay in administering appropriate therapy. However, with timely intervention, including early identification of the infection, appropriate antimicrobial treatment, and supportive care, survival rates can improve [5].

Conclusion

Sepsis in immunocompromised patients is a medical emergency that requires a high index of suspicion and prompt intervention. The atypical

presentation, coupled with the wide range of potential pathogens, makes early diagnosis and management challenging. Clinicians must be aware of the altered immune response in these patients, as well as the potential for unusual or masked symptoms of sepsis. The diagnosis should be based not only on clinical presentation but also on comprehensive laboratory testing and imaging, with appropriate antimicrobial therapy initiated as soon as possible. The goal is to balance aggressive treatment of the infection with careful management of the underlying immunocompromised state, ultimately improving the chances of survival for these vulnerable patients.

References

1. Tang, Aling, Yi Shi, Qingqing Dong and Sihui Wang, et al. "Prognostic differences in sepsis caused by gram-negative bacteria and gram-positive bacteria: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *Crit Care* 27 (2023): 467.
2. Kyriazopoulou, Evdokia, Lydia Liaskou-Antoniou, George Adamis and Antonia Panagaki, et al. "Procalcitonin to reduce long-term infection-associated adverse events in sepsis. A randomized trial." *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 203 (2021): 202-210.
3. Rudd, Kristina E., Sarah Charlotte Johnson, Kareha M. Agesa and Katya Anne Shackelford, et al. "Global, regional, and national sepsis incidence and mortality, 1990–2017: Analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study." *Lancet* 395 (2020): 200-211.
4. Buchman, Timothy G., Steven Q. Simpson, Kimberly L. Sciarretta and Kristen P. Finne, et al. "Sepsis among medicare beneficiaries: 1. The burdens of sepsis, 2012–2018." *Crit Care Med* 48 (2020): 276-288.
5. Kim, Sun Bean, Kyoung Hwa Lee, Ji Un Lee and Hea Won Ann, et al. "Long pentraxin 3 as a predictive marker of mortality in severe septic patients who received successful early goal-directed therapy." *Yonsei Med J* 58 (2017): 370-379.

How to cite this article: Lynch, Maximus. "Diagnosis and Management of an Atypical Case of Sepsis in an Immunocompromised Patient." *J Clin Case Rep* 14 (2024): 1630