Brief Report
Volume 12:02, 2024

Journal of Global Economics

ISSN: 2375-4389 Open Access

Dynamic Shifts in Ecosystem Service Value and Ecological Compensation in China's Original Periodically Poverty-affected Areas

Denica Relim*

Department of Economics and Management, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou, China

Introduction

Dynamic shifts in ecosystem service value and ecological compensation in China's original periodically poverty-affected areas have been a subject of increasing interest and concern in recent years. These areas, characterized by their ecological fragility and susceptibility to poverty, play a crucial role in the country's sustainable development efforts. Understanding the changes in ecosystem service value and the effectiveness of ecological compensation mechanisms is essential for informed policymaking and targeted interventions. In this article, we explore the evolving landscape of ecosystem services and ecological compensation in China's periodically poverty-affected areas, focusing on key drivers, challenges and opportunities. China's original periodically poverty-affected areas are typically located in ecologically fragile regions, such as mountainous areas, arid and semi-arid zones and remote rural areas. These regions face challenges such as soil erosion, biodiversity loss, water scarcity, and limited access to resources, exacerbating poverty cycles among local communities. Recognizing the intertwined relationship between poverty and environmental degradation, the Chinese government has implemented various policies and initiatives aimed at promoting ecological conservation and poverty alleviation [1].

Description

Ecosystem services refer to the benefits that humans derive from ecosystems, including provisioning services (e.g., food, water), regulating services (e.g., climate regulation, water purification), cultural services (e.g., recreation, spiritual enrichment), and supporting services (e.g., nutrient cycling, soil formation). In periodically poverty-affected areas, the value of ecosystem services is often underestimated or overlooked, leading to unsustainable resource exploitation and environmental degradation. Recent studies have highlighted the significant contributions of ecosystems in these areas to local livelihoods and regional economies. For example, forests provide valuable resources such as timber, non-timber forest products, and ecosystem services like carbon sequestration and soil conservation. Wetlands play a crucial role in flood regulation, water purification, and biodiversity conservation. Grasslands support livestock grazing and contribute to soil stabilization and carbon storage. Recognizing the multifaceted benefits of these ecosystems is essential for assessing their true value and prioritizing conservation efforts [2].

Several factors drive changes in ecosystem service value in periodically poverty-affected areas. Rapid urbanization, agricultural expansion, and infrastructure development can lead to land degradation and habitat loss, affecting the quantity and quality of ecosystem services. Shifts in temperature, precipitation patterns, and extreme weather events can alter ecosystem dynamics, impacting the provision of services such as water supply, crop pollination, and natural hazard mitigation. Population growth, changing consumption patterns, and economic policies influence land management practices and resource utilization, affecting ecosystem service provision and distribution. Government policies and programs aimed at ecological conservation, poverty alleviation, and sustainable development can shape land use decisions, community livelihoods and ecosystem resilience. Understanding the interactions between these drivers is critical for predicting future changes in ecosystem services and designing effective mitigation strategies [3].

Ecological compensation refers to the financial or non-financial incentives provided to individuals or communities for conserving natural resources and ecosystem services. In periodically poverty-affected areas, where economic opportunities are limited and environmental degradation is prevalent, ecological compensation mechanisms play a crucial role in incentivizing conservation actions and improving local livelihoods. China has implemented various ecological compensation programs, such as Payments for Ecosystem Services (PES), ecological restoration subsidies, and land use subsidies. These programs aim to internalize the externalities of ecosystem conservation, promote sustainable land management practices, and enhance ecosystem resilience. However, challenges such as inadequate funding, limited institutional capacity, and lack of community participation can hinder the effectiveness of these mechanisms. Enhancing coordination between environmental, agricultural, and poverty alleviation policies is essential for promoting synergies and minimizing trade-offs between competing objectives. Establishing robust monitoring and evaluation frameworks is critical for assessing the effectiveness of ecological compensation programs, identifying gaps, and refining strategies based on empirical evidence [4,5].

Conclusion

In conclusion, dynamic shifts in ecosystem service value and ecological compensation in China's original periodically poverty-affected areas underscore the complex interactions between environmental conservation, poverty alleviation, and sustainable development. Recognizing the importance of ecosystems in supporting human well-being and economic prosperity is essential for informing policy decisions and promoting integrated solutions. By adopting a holistic approach that addresses underlying drivers, enhances institutional capacity, and fosters community engagement, China can achieve its dual objectives of ecological sustainability and poverty reduction in these critical regions.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

There are no conflicts of interest by author.

References

1. Wang, Guofa, Yongxiang Xu and Huaiwei Ren. "Intelligent and ecological coal mining as well as clean utilization technology in China: Review and prospects." *Int J Min Sci Technol* 29 (2019): 161-169.

***Address for Correspondence:** Denica Relim, Department of Economics and Management, Lanzhou Jiaotong University, Lanzhou, China, E-mail: relim@denica.edu.cn*

Received: 06 February, 2024, Manuscript No. economics-24-135627; **Editor Assigned:** 08 February, 2023, PreQC No. P-135627; **Reviewed:** 22 February, 2024, QC No. Q-135627; **Revised:** 27 February, 2024, Manuscript No. R-135627; **Published:** 05 March, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2375-4389.2024.12.460

2. Zhang, Rui, Xiaotong Qie, Yanyong Hu and Xue Chen. "Does de-capacity policy promote the efficient and green development of the coal industry?–Based on the evidence of China." *Resour Policy* 77 (2022): 102717.

3. Stilwell, L. C., R. C. A. Minnitt, T. D. Monso and G. Kuhn. "An input–output analysis of the impact of mining on the South African economy." *Resour Policy* 26 (2000): 17-30.

4. Lei, Yalin, Na Cui and Dongyang Pan. "Economic and social effects analysis of mineral development in China and policy implications." *Resour Policy* 38 (2013): 448-457.

5. Xu, Tang, Zhang Baosheng, Feng Lianyong and Marwan Masri, et al. "Economic impacts and challenges of China's petroleum industry: An input–output analysis." *Energy* 36 (2011): 2905-2911.

How to cite this article: Relim, Denica. "Dynamic Shifts in Ecosystem Service Value and Ecological Compensation in China's Original Periodically Poverty-affected Areas." *J Glob Econ* 12 (2024): 460.