

# Emerging Materials in Biosensors: From Graphene to Quantum Dots

Cortney Nowak\*

Department of Biosensors and Bioelectronics, University of Texas at Dallas, TX, USA

## Introduction

Biosensors are analytical devices that convert a biological response into an electrical signal. These devices have seen tremendous advancements with the advent of new materials. This article reviews the emerging materials in biosensor technology, focusing on graphene, carbon nanotubes, conducting polymers and quantum dots. We discuss the unique properties of these materials, their applications in biosensors and the future directions of this rapidly evolving field.

Biosensors have become integral tools in various fields, including medical diagnostics, environmental monitoring and food safety. The performance of biosensors heavily relies on the materials used in their construction. Recent years have seen a surge in the development of novel materials that enhance the sensitivity, specificity and overall performance of biosensors. Among these, graphene, carbon nanotubes, conducting polymers and quantum dots stand out due to their exceptional electrical, optical and mechanical properties.

## Description

Graphene, a single layer of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice, has garnered significant attention due to its extraordinary electrical conductivity, mechanical strength and large surface area. Its synthesis methods include mechanical exfoliation, chemical vapor deposition (CVD) and reduction of graphene oxide.

Graphene's high surface area and excellent conductivity make it ideal for use in electrochemical biosensors. It provides a robust platform for immobilizing biomolecules such as enzymes, antibodies and DNA, facilitating the detection of various analytes with high sensitivity and low detection limits. For instance, graphene-based biosensors have been developed for glucose monitoring, DNA sequencing and detecting pathogens.

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs), cylindrical structures made of carbon atoms, exhibit remarkable electrical, thermal and mechanical properties. They can be single-walled (SWCNTs) or multi-walled (MWCNTs), depending on the number of graphene layers [1].

Carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are cylindrical nanostructures composed of carbon atoms arranged in a hexagonal lattice. They exhibit unique and remarkable properties that make them highly valuable in various applications, including biosensors.

1. **Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWCNTs):** These consist of a

single layer of graphene rolled into a cylindrical shape with diameters typically ranging from 0.7 to 3 nm.

2. **Multi-walled carbon nanotubes (MWCNTs):** These consist of multiple layers of graphene rolled into concentric cylinders with diameters ranging from 2 nm to several tens of nanometers.
  - **High strength:** CNTs possess exceptional tensile strength, approximately 100 times stronger than steel.
  - **Elasticity:** They exhibit high elasticity and can be bent without damage, returning to their original shape when the stress is removed.
  - **Lightweight:** Despite their strength, CNTs are extremely lightweight, with a density one-sixth that of steel.
  - **Conductivity:** CNTs can be metallic or semiconducting depending on their chirality (the angle at which the graphene sheet is rolled). Metallic CNTs exhibit excellent electrical conductivity, surpassing that of copper.
  - **Field emission:** CNTs can emit electrons at low voltage due to their high aspect ratio and sharp tips, making them suitable for electron emission applications.
  - **Thermal conductivity:** CNTs exhibit thermal conductivity as high as 3500 W/m-K, surpassing that of diamond. This property makes them excellent thermal conductors.
  - **Thermal stability:** They can withstand high temperatures in an inert atmosphere without degrading.
  - **Chemical stability:** CNTs are chemically stable and resistant to corrosion and oxidation. However, their surface can be chemically functionalized to enhance solubility and reactivity.

Several methods have been developed to synthesize CNTs, each with its advantages and challenges. The most common synthesis methods include:

This method involves creating an electric arc between two graphite electrodes in an inert gas atmosphere (e.g., helium or argon). The high temperature generated by the arc vaporizes the carbon, which then condenses to form CNTs.

- **Advantages:** Produces high-quality CNTs with fewer defects and high crystallinity.
- **Challenges:** Requires high energy input and produces a mixture of CNTs and other carbon structures, necessitating purification.

In this method, a high-power laser is used to vaporize a graphite target in the presence of an inert gas. The vaporized carbon condenses to form CNTs [2].

- **Advantages:** Yields high-quality CNTs with controlled diameter and fewer defects.
- **Challenges:** Expensive and requires high-energy lasers and precise control of synthesis conditions.

CVD is the most widely used method for synthesizing CNTs. It involves decomposing a carbon-containing gas (e.g., methane, acetylene) on a substrate coated with metal catalysts (e.g., iron, nickel) at high temperatures.

\*Address for Correspondence: Cortney Nowak, Department of Biosensors and Bioelectronics, University of Texas at Dallas, TX, USA; E-mail: CortneyNowak21@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2024 Nowak C. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 01 April, 2024, Manuscript No. jbsbe-24-143492; Editor Assigned: 03 April, 2024, PreQC No. P-143492; Reviewed: 15 April, 2024, QC No. Q-143492; Revised: 22 April, 2024, Manuscript No. R-143492; Published: 29 April, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2155-6210.2024.15.438

- **Advantages:** Scalable and allows precise control over CNT growth conditions, producing CNTs with uniform diameter and length.
- **Challenges:** Requires careful optimization of synthesis parameters and catalyst preparation.

The HiPco process involves the decomposition of carbon monoxide gas at high pressure and temperature in the presence of iron catalyst particles, leading to the formation of SWCNTs.

- **Advantages:** Produces high-purity SWCNTs with narrow diameter distribution.
- **Challenges:** High-pressure conditions require specialized equipment and safety measures.

To enhance the solubility, dispersibility and reactivity of CNTs for specific applications, functionalization techniques are employed. Functionalization can be achieved through covalent and non-covalent methods.

Covalent functionalization involves chemically modifying the surface of CNTs by attaching functional groups (e.g., carboxyl, hydroxyl) or molecules through covalent bonds. This process can introduce defects in the CNT structure but significantly improves their solubility and compatibility with other materials.

Non-covalent functionalization involves attaching molecules or polymers to the surface of CNTs through weak interactions such as van der Waals forces,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, or electrostatic interactions. This method preserves the intrinsic properties of CNTs while enhancing their solubility and dispersibility.

CNTs have been extensively used in biosensors due to their high surface-to-volume ratio, excellent conductivity and ability to facilitate electron transfer. They are employed in various biosensing applications, including glucose sensors, cancer biomarker detection and environmental monitoring. CNT-based field-effect transistors (FETs) have shown great promise in detecting biomolecules with high sensitivity and selectivity [3].

Conducting polymers, such as polyaniline, polypyrrole and polythiophene, are organic polymers that conduct electricity. They combine the mechanical properties of polymers with the electronic properties of metals and semiconductors.

Conducting polymers are used in biosensors for their biocompatibility, ease of synthesis and tunable electrical properties. They are particularly useful in developing flexible and wearable biosensors. Conducting polymer-based biosensors have been utilized in detecting glucose, cholesterol and various environmental pollutants.

Conducting polymers are extensively used in glucose biosensors. For example, polyaniline can be coated on an electrode and functionalized with glucose oxidase. The enzyme catalyzes the oxidation of glucose, producing hydrogen peroxide. The conducting polymer facilitates electron transfer, generating a measurable current proportional to the glucose concentration. This system offers high sensitivity and rapid response times, making it suitable for continuous glucose monitoring.

Polypyrrole-based biosensors have been developed for cholesterol detection. Cholesterol oxidase immobilized on a polypyrrole matrix catalyzes the oxidation of cholesterol, producing hydrogen peroxide. The resulting electrochemical signal correlates with the cholesterol concentration. Such biosensors provide a reliable and efficient method for monitoring cholesterol levels, crucial for managing cardiovascular diseases.

Conducting polymers are used to fabricate biosensors for DNA and protein detection. For instance, polythiophene derivatives can be functionalized with specific DNA sequences or antibodies. When the target DNA or protein binds to these functionalized surfaces, it induces a change in the polymer's electrical conductivity, providing a detectable signal. These biosensors offer high sensitivity and selectivity, enabling early diagnosis of genetic disorders and infectious diseases [4].

Conducting polymer-based biosensors are employed in environmental

monitoring to detect pollutants such as heavy metals, pesticides and organic compounds. Polyaniline, for example, can be used to detect trace amounts of heavy metals like lead and cadmium. The polymer's interaction with these pollutants results in a change in its electrical properties, which can be measured electrochemically. This application is essential for ensuring environmental safety and regulatory compliance.

The inherent flexibility of conducting polymers makes them ideal for developing wearable biosensors. These sensors can be integrated into textiles or flexible substrates to monitor physiological parameters such as glucose, lactate and pH in real-time. Polyaniline and polypyrrole-based sensors have been demonstrated for continuous health monitoring, providing valuable data for managing chronic conditions and improving overall health outcomes [5].

1. **High sensitivity:** Conducting polymers enhance electron transfer, improving the sensitivity of biosensors.
2. **Biocompatibility:** These materials are generally biocompatible, making them suitable for in vivo applications.
3. **Flexibility:** The mechanical flexibility of conducting polymers allows the fabrication of wearable and implantable biosensors.
4. **Tunable properties:** The electrical and optical properties of conducting polymers can be tuned by modifying their chemical structure, enabling customization for specific applications.
5. **Ease of fabrication:** Conducting polymers can be synthesized using relatively simple and cost-effective methods, facilitating large-scale production.

Despite their advantages, conducting polymers face some challenges in biosensor applications:

1. **Stability:** Conducting polymers may degrade over time, affecting the sensor's performance.
2. **Reproducibility:** Ensuring consistent sensor performance can be challenging due to variations in polymer synthesis and processing.
3. **Functionalization:** Effective immobilization of biomolecules on conducting polymers requires precise control over surface chemistry.

Future research will likely focus on improving the stability and reproducibility of conducting polymer-based biosensors. Advances in nanotechnology and material science may lead to the development of new conducting polymers with enhanced properties. Additionally, integrating conducting polymers with other emerging materials, such as graphene and quantum dots, could result in hybrid biosensors with superior performance.

Quantum dots (QDs) are semiconductor nanoparticles that exhibit size-dependent optical properties, including fluorescence. They can be synthesized using colloidal methods, molecular beam epitaxy, or chemical vapor deposition.

QDs are used in biosensors for their unique optical properties, such as high brightness and photostability. They are ideal for fluorescence-based biosensing applications, enabling the detection of low-abundance biomolecules with high sensitivity. QD-based biosensors have been developed for imaging and detecting cancer cells, pathogens and toxins.

The integration of emerging materials in biosensors promises to revolutionize the field. Future research is likely to focus on the following areas:

1. **Hybrid materials:** Combining different emerging materials to leverage their individual strengths for enhanced biosensor performance.
2. **Miniaturization:** Developing nanoscale biosensors for applications in personalized medicine and wearable devices.
3. **Multiplexed detection:** Creating biosensors capable of detecting multiple analytes simultaneously, improving diagnostic accuracy.
4. **Point-of-care testing:** Advancing portable and easy-to-use biosensors for rapid and on-site diagnostics.

---

## Conclusion

Emerging materials such as graphene, carbon nanotubes, conducting polymers and quantum dots have significantly advanced biosensor technology. These materials offer unique properties that enhance the sensitivity, specificity and versatility of biosensors. Continued research and development in this area hold great promise for improving diagnostic capabilities and addressing various challenges in healthcare, environmental monitoring and beyond.

---

## Acknowledgement

None.

---

## Conflict of Interest

None.

---

## References

1. Ramanavicius, Arunas, Asta Kausaite and Almira Ramanaviciene. "Enzymatic biofuel cell based on anode and cathode powered by ethanol." *Biosens Bioelectron* 24 (2008): 761-766.
2. Ramanavicius, Arunas, Asta Kausaite-Minkstimiene, Inga Morkvenaite-Vilkonciene and Povilas Genys, et al. "Biofuel cell based on glucose oxidase from *P. funiculosus* 46.1 and Horseradish peroxidase." *Chem Eng J* 264 (2015): 165-173.
3. Slate, Anthony J., Kathryn A. Whitehead, Dale AC Brownson and Craig E. Banks. "Microbial fuel cells: An overview of current technology." *Renew Sust Energ Rev* 101 (2019): 60-81.
4. Bruzaite, Ingrida, Juste Rozene, Inga Morkvenaite-Vilkonciene and Arunas Ramanavicius. "Towards microorganism-based biofuel cells: The viability of *saccharomyces cerevisiae* modified by multiwalled carbon nanotubes." *Nanomater* 10 (2020): 954.
5. Rozene, Juste, Inga Morkvenaite-Vilkonciene, Ingrida Bruzaite and Andrius Dzedzickis, et al. "Yeast-based microbial biofuel cell mediated by 9, 10-phenanthrenequinone." *Electrochim Acta* 373 (2021): 137918.

**How to cite this article:** Nowak, Cortney. "Emerging Materials in Biosensors: From Graphene to Quantum Dots." *J Biosens Bioelectron* 15 (2024): 438.