

Ethical Issues in Assessing Female Asylum Seekers in Psychological Evaluations

Deneke Ackerman*

Department of Forensic Toxicology, Riga Technical University, LV-1048 Rīga, Latvia

Introduction

The journey of seeking asylum is often fraught with peril, trauma and uncertainty, particularly for female asylum seekers. Fleeing from persecution, violence, or other forms of oppression in their home countries, these women embark on arduous journeys in search of safety and refuge. As they navigate the complex asylum process, their psychological well-being becomes a paramount concern, necessitating comprehensive evaluations by mental health professionals. However, such evaluations must be conducted with utmost ethical diligence to ensure that the rights, dignity and autonomy of female asylum seekers are upheld throughout the process. One of the foremost ethical considerations in the psychological evaluation of female asylum seekers is cultural sensitivity. These women often come from diverse cultural backgrounds, each with its own norms, values and beliefs regarding mental health and well-being. Mental health professionals must therefore approach evaluations with a deep understanding of cultural nuances, avoiding the imposition of Western-centric frameworks or assumptions. Cultural competence involves not only awareness of cultural differences but also the ability to adapt assessment tools, communication styles and therapeutic approaches to resonate with the cultural backgrounds of the individuals being evaluated [1].

Description

Many female asylum seekers have experienced profound trauma, including but not limited to physical violence, sexual assault, persecution and forced displacement. Consequently, any psychological evaluation must be conducted through a trauma-informed lens, recognizing the pervasive impact of trauma on individuals' lives and psychosocial functioning. This approach entails creating a safe and supportive environment, prioritizing the survivor's autonomy and agency and employing assessment techniques that minimize retraumatization. Moreover, mental health professionals should be attuned to signs of trauma and equipped to provide appropriate referrals for specialized trauma care when needed. Maintaining confidentiality is another ethical imperative in the evaluation of female asylum seekers. These women may harbor legitimate fears about the repercussions of disclosing sensitive information, especially if it pertains to experiences of persecution or violence in their home countries. Mental health professionals must therefore assure them of the strict confidentiality of their disclosures, except in cases where there is a clear and imminent risk of harm to the individual or others. Moreover, professionals should be mindful of the potential for breaches of confidentiality in the asylum process itself, such as during interviews with immigration officials and take proactive steps to mitigate such risks [2].

Informed consent lies at the heart of ethical practice in psychological

***Address for Correspondence:** Deneke Ackerman, Department of Forensic Toxicology, Riga Technical University, LV-1048 Rīga, Latvia; E-mail: ackermann.ekene@eka.lt

Copyright: © 2024 Ackerman D. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 25 June, 2024, Manuscript No. JFM-24-146352; **Editor assigned:** 27 June, 2024, PreQC No. P-146352; **Reviewed:** 09 July, 2024, QC No Q-146352; **Revised:** 15 July, 2024, Manuscript No. R-146352; **Published:** 22 July, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2472-1026.2024.9.366

evaluation. However, obtaining truly informed consent from female asylum seekers can be challenging due to factors such as language barriers, limited education and power differentials inherent in the asylum context. Mental health professionals must strive to provide clear and accessible information about the purpose, nature and potential risks and benefits of the evaluation process, ensuring that individuals are empowered to make autonomous decisions about their participation. Moreover, consent should be an on-going process, reaffirmed at each stage of the evaluation and accompanied by opportunities for questions, clarifications and withdrawal of consent without repercussions. The psychological evaluation of female asylum seekers demands a nuanced understanding of the intersecting ethical considerations at play. By embracing principles of cultural sensitivity, trauma-informed care, confidentiality and informed consent, mental health professionals can uphold the dignity, rights and well-being of these vulnerable individuals while fulfilling their professional responsibilities. Ultimately, by integrating ethical principles into practice, psychologists and other mental health professionals can contribute to the promotion of justice and human rights in the asylum-seeking context, fostering environments of safety, healing and empowerment for female asylum seekers worldwide [3].

The asylum process itself can be characterized by inherent power imbalances and dynamics of coercion, which can impact the voluntariness of individuals' participation in psychological evaluations. Female asylum seekers may feel pressure to comply with evaluation requests due to fears of deportation, concerns about the impact on their asylum claims, or dependencies on humanitarian aid provided by asylum authorities. Mental health professionals must be vigilant in identifying and mitigating these power differentials, ensuring that evaluations are conducted in environments where individuals feel empowered to freely consent or decline participation without undue influence. This may involve providing assurances of confidentiality, offering alternatives to traditional evaluation methods (such as written questionnaires or telehealth assessments) and involving trusted interpreters or advocates to support communication and decision-making processes [4].

The ethical considerations inherent in the psychological evaluation of female asylum seekers are multifaceted and interconnected, requiring thoughtful reflection, cultural humility and a commitment to social justice. By centering principles of cultural sensitivity, trauma-informed care, confidentiality, informed consent, intersectionality and empowerment, mental health professionals can navigate the complexities of this work with integrity and compassion. Moreover, by engaging in on-going dialogue and collaboration with diverse stakeholders, including asylum seekers themselves, advocacy organizations, legal experts and policymakers, psychologists and other mental health professionals can contribute to the development of ethical guidelines and best practices that promote the well-being and rights of female asylum seekers worldwide. In doing so, they uphold the profession's ethical mandate to do no harm, uphold human dignity and advance social equity and inclusion for all individuals, regardless of their immigration status or background [5,6].

Conclusion

The ethical considerations in the psychological evaluation of female asylum seekers extend far beyond the confines of the evaluation room, encompassing issues of cultural competence, power dynamics, diagnostic labeling, long-term care, professional development and systemic advocacy. By embracing a holistic and intersectional approach to ethical practice,

mental health professionals can fulfill their ethical responsibilities to promote the well-being, dignity and rights of female asylum seekers while advocating for broader social change to address the root causes of displacement and injustice. In doing so, they embody the values of compassion, integrity and social justice that lie at the heart of the mental health profession.

Acknowledgement

We thank the anonymous reviewers for their constructive criticisms of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interest

The author declares there is no conflict of interest associated with this manuscript.

References

1. Baranowski, Kim A., Melissa H. Moses and Jasmine Sundri. "Supporting asylum seekers: Clinician experiences of documenting human rights violations through forensic psychological evaluation." *J Trauma Stress* 31 (2018): 391-400.
2. Nielsen, Signe S., Marie Norredam, Karen L. Christiansen and Carsten Obel, et al. "Mental health among children seeking asylum in Denmark—the effect of length of stay and number of relocations: A cross-sectional study." *BMC Public Health* 8 (2008): 1-9.
3. Laban, Cornelis J., Hajo BPE Gernaat, Ivan H. Komproe and Bettine A. Schreuders, et al. "Impact of a long asylum procedure on the prevalence of psychiatric disorders in Iraqi asylum seekers in The Netherlands." *J Nerv Ment Dis* 192 (2004): 843-851.
4. Porter, Matthew and Nick Haslam. "Predisplacement and postdisplacement factors associated with mental health of refugees and internally displaced persons: A meta-analysis." *Jama* 294 (2005): 602-612.
5. Visentin, Sindi, Guido Pelletti, Thomas Bajanowski and Santo Davide Ferrara. "Methodology for the identification of vulnerable asylum seekers." *Int J Leg Med* 131 (2017): 1719-1730.
6. Petersen, Hans Draminsky and Benito Morentin. "Assessing the level of credibility of allegations of physical torture." *Forensic Sci Int* 301 (2019): 263-270.

How to cite this article: Ackerman, Deneke. "Ethical Issues in Assessing Female Asylum Seekers in Psychological Evaluations." *J Forensic Med* 9 (2024): 366.