

Gender Differences in Cardiovascular Disease: Emerging Research and Implications

Jody Bestow*

Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Marburg University Hospital, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany

Introduction

Cardiovascular disease remains the leading cause of mortality worldwide, affecting both men and women. However, emerging research has highlighted significant gender differences in the prevalence, presentation, diagnosis and treatment outcomes of CVD. Understanding these differences is crucial for developing tailored prevention strategies, improving diagnostic accuracy and optimizing treatment protocols for both genders. This article explores the latest research on gender differences in CVD, examining the underlying biological, social and behavioural factors that contribute to these disparities. Additionally, the implications of these findings for clinical practice and public health interventions are discussed. Cardiovascular disease is the leading cause of death globally, responsible for millions of deaths each year. Recent research has begun to address these gaps, revealing critical gender differences that have significant implications for diagnosis, treatment and prevention. CVD prevalence varies between men and women, with men generally exhibiting higher rates at younger ages. However, the risk of CVD in women increases significantly after menopause, suggesting a protective role of estragon before menopause. Traditional risk factors, such as hypertension, diabetes, smoking and high cholesterol, are common to both genders, but their impact may differ. For instance, diabetes has been shown to be a stronger risk factor for CVD in women than in men. Additionally, emerging risk factors, such as autoimmune diseases, which are more prevalent in women and pregnancy-related conditions like preeclampsia, have been identified as significant contributors to CVD risk in women [1].

Description

One of the most well-documented gender differences in CVD is in symptom presentation. While men are more likely to experience the classic symptoms of a heart attack, such as chest pain radiating to the arm, women often present with atypical symptoms like shortness of breath, nausea, back or jaw pain and fatigue. These differences in symptomatology can lead to delays in diagnosis and treatment for women, as their symptoms may not be immediately recognized as being related to CVD. The underrepresentation of women in clinical trials has contributed to a lack of gender-specific diagnostic criteria, which has significant consequences for the early detection of CVD in women. Standard diagnostic tests, such as stress tests and angiography, may be less sensitive in detecting CVD in women due to differences in plaque composition and distribution. For example, women are more likely to have micro vascular disease, which may not be detected through traditional diagnostic methods. As a result, women are more likely to be misdiagnosed

*Address for Correspondence: Jody Bestow, Department of Cardiovascular Medicine, Marburg University Hospital, Philipps University of Marburg, Marburg, Germany; E-mail: bjody@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2024 Bestow J. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 03 August, 2024, Manuscript No. jodd-24-145653; Editor assigned: 05 August, 2024, PreQC No. P-145653; Reviewed: 17 August, 2024, QC No. Q-145653; Revised: 22 August, 2024, Manuscript No. R-145653; Published: 29 August, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2329-9517.2024.12.619

or diagnosed at a later stage, which can impact their treatment outcomes. Recent research has begun to uncover the biological mechanisms underlying gender differences in CVD. Hormonal factors, particularly the role of estragon, have been extensively studied. Estragon is thought to have a protective effect on the cardiovascular system by enhancing endothelial function and lipid metabolism. However, after menopause, the decline in estragon levels may contribute to the increased risk of CVD in women. Additionally, genetic differences, such as variations in genes related to inflammation and lipid metabolism, have been identified as potential contributors to gender differences in CVD [2,3].

Inflammation plays a critical role in the development of atherosclerosis and there is evidence to suggest that inflammatory processes may differ between men and women. For instance, women tend to have higher levels of certain inflammatory markers, which may contribute to their higher risk of developing conditions like rheumatoid arthritis and lupus, both of which are associated with increased CVD risk. Furthermore, women are less likely to engage in regular physical activity and may face more barriers to adopting heart-healthy behaviours. Smoking, for instance, is a more potent risk factor for CVD in women than in men and women who smoke are more likely to develop CVD at a younger age. Understanding gender differences in CVD has important implications for treatment. Studies have shown that women are less likely to receive evidence-based treatments, such as statins, aspirin and coronary interventions, compared to men. This discrepancy may be due in part to differences in symptom presentation and diagnostic challenges, but it also reflects potential biases in clinical practice. Women are also more likely to experience adverse drug reactions, which can complicate treatment plans. Treatment strategies to the unique needs of women with CVD could improve outcomes and reduce the gender gap in cardiovascular health [4].

The recognition of gender differences in CVD has significant implications for public health and clinical practice. Public health campaigns need to be tailored to raise awareness of the unique risk factors and symptoms of CVD in women. Education and training for healthcare providers should emphasize the importance of recognizing and addressing gender differences in CVD to improve diagnosis and treatment outcomes. Moreover, there is a need for more gender-specific research to inform clinical guidelines and ensure that they are applicable to both men and women. This includes increasing the representation of women in clinical trials and developing diagnostic tools that are sensitive to the unique characteristics of CVD in women. Although CVD affects both men and women, the presentation, risk factors and outcomes can vary significantly between genders. Historically, much of the research and clinical guidelines have been based on studies predominantly involving men, leading to gaps in understanding the unique aspects of CVD in women. Social and behavioural factors also play a significant role in gender differences in CVD. Women are more likely to experience psychosocial stressors, such as caregiving responsibilities and socioeconomic challenges, which have been linked to increased CVD risk [5].

Conclusion

The emerging research on gender differences in cardiovascular disease underscores the importance of recognizing and addressing these disparities in both clinical and public health settings. By understanding the unique risk factors, symptoms and treatment needs of women, healthcare providers can improve the accuracy of diagnoses, the effectiveness of treatments and

ultimately, the outcomes for all patients. As research continues to evolve, it is crucial that both men and women benefit equally from advancements in cardiovascular care.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Abdellatif, Mahmoud, Simon Sedej, Didac Carmona-Gutierrez and Frank Madeo, et al. "Autophagy in cardiovascular aging." *Circulation Res* 123 (2018): 803-824.
2. Albert, Matthew L., S. Frieda A. Pearce, Loise M. Francisco and Birthe Sauter, et al. "Immature dendritic cells phagocytose apoptotic cells via $\alpha v \beta 5$ and CD36, and cross-present antigens to cytotoxic T lymphocytes." *J Exp Med* 188 (1998): 1359-1368.
3. Anding, Allyson L. and Eric H. Baehrecke. "Cleaning house: selective autophagy of organelles." *Dev Cell* 41 (2017): 10-22.
4. Baker, Darren J. and Ronald C. Petersen. "Cellular senescence in brain aging and neurodegenerative diseases: evidence and perspectives." *J Clin Invest* 128 (2018): 1208-1216.
5. Barzilai, Nir, Jill P. Crandall, Stephen B. Kritchevsky and Mark A. Espeland. "Metformin as a tool to target aging." *Cell Metab* 23 (2016): 1060-1065.

How to cite this article: Bestow, Jody. "Gender Differences in Cardiovascular Disease: Emerging Research and Implications." *J Cardiovasc Dis Diagn* 12 (2024): 619.