Hypothesis
Volume 16:01, 2025

Arts and Social Sciences Journal

ISSN: 2151-6200

Open Access

The Chinese Loan and its Impacts on African Economy: A Strategic Solution or a Potential Trap?

Ghazali Bello Abubakar*

Department of Political Science, Sokoto State University, Sokoto, Nigeria

Abstract

With its vast and rapidly growing economy, China has emerged as a crucial partner for countries across the globe, particularly in Africa. The Chinese African partnership extends beyond mere diplomacy, encompassing bilateral lending and development projects. Currently, certain African countries, such as Uganda, are already experiencing the adverse effects of these loans, with assets such as Entebbe International Airport being put at risk. Numerous low-income African nations are grappling with the daunting challenge of meeting their debt obligations, and this situation is likely to worsen in the years to come. This paper aims to examine the potential risks associated with the debt burden on African nations. It will also explore the challenges they may face in repaying the loans. However, it highlights some of the infrastructural developments attained through the loans. It concludes that despite a relative decline in the scale of Chinese lending to Africa between 2000 and 2020, these loans have the potential to both facilitate economic development in Africa and entangle the continent in a form of enslavement. Interdependence theory is used to discuss the findings of the paper.

Keywords: Chinese loan • Potential trap • Challenges • Economic development • Infrastructural reformation

Introduction

Over the past 18 years or so, China has emerged as a colossal economic and market powerhouse, directing over $152 billion into Africa through a variety of investments, grants, and loans. This substantial financial infusion has underwritten a wide array of projects across the continent, ranging from railway lines to ports, and from stadiums to hospitals. It has even extended to the construction of presidential villas and the support of digital migration initiatives. Yet, this massive financial engagement has not come without its challenges. Recent years have seen escalating concerns regarding the debt sustainability of several African countries, including Zambia, Ethiopia, Kenya, and Djibouti [1].

The burden of loan repayments has severely strained many Africa's public coffers, necessitating the diversion of substantial domestic revenues toward servicing these external debts. The advent of the COVID-19 pandemic in 2020 only exacerbated these financial strains, highlighting an urgent need for increased investment in public health infrastructure in the region [1]. Even prior to the twin crises precipitated by the COVID-19 pandemic – both health as well as economic-related issues – there were mounting appeals for leniency from various quarters. Notably, these calls came from the Bretton Woods institutions as well as from leaders within Africa itself, including the Ethiopian Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed.

Therein, the African governments urged the People's Republic of China (PRC) to consider alleviating the debt burdens shouldered by so many African nations. In response to these appeals, and within the tumultuous context of 2020, Beijing endeavored to navigate a delicate balance. It took measures to partially alleviate these burdens by selectively cancelling some debts and deferring others. Nevertheless, it maintained a stance against the notion of universal debt forgiveness [1]. The phenomenon of Chinese lending to Africa is far from a recent development. In fact, its origins can be traced back to the 1960s, beginning with a loan of approximately US$25 million to Guinea.

This initial foray was aimed at bolstering trade and economic activities on the continent, including the establishment of a cigarette and match factory which subsequently provided employment for around 1,800 individuals. Moreover, in 1963, Algeria received a similar loan, which was instrumental in facilitating an arms deal as well as procuring medical equipment and providing military training. This was part of Algeria's broader anti-colonial struggle against France at that time [2].

Theoretical framework

Interdependence theory is employed to support the argument of this study. Interdependence theory refers to a situation where individuals recognize that they can achieve their goals only when the other individuals linked to them in cooperation achieve their goals, too. Consequently, they support each other's endeavors to reach their common objectives. Interdependence theory, initially formulated by John Thibaut and Kelly Harold in 1959, has served as a source of inspiration for numerous scholars. Notably, Johnson, D. W., Johnson, R. T., and Smith, K. further expanded upon this theory, shaping it into a comprehensive framework for understanding social interactions.

I opted to utilize the Interdependence theoretical framework as it aligns well with examining the partnership and cooperation between China and Africa. Human existence is fundamentally communal, with a significant portion of it occurring within the realms of one-on-one and collective engagements. Various characteristics of individuals are frequently shaped by their social interactions. The concept "no nation lives in isolation" underscores the interconnected nature of entities working together towards shared goals.

Africa is known for its abundant energy and natural resources, and over the past two decades, the region has witnessed a remarkable growth in natural gas production. Simultaneously, China's appetite for energy and natural resources has been on a steady rise. China's demand for resources can be described as significant, to say the least. With approximately two-thirds of the world's iron ore demand, around one-third of aluminum ore demand, and over 45 per cent of global demand for coal, China truly plays a pivotal role in shaping the global resource market [3]. The exchange of benefits between Chinese loans and African resources can be viewed as a mutually beneficial partnership.

China's commitment to Africa

China has consistently demonstrated its strong commitment to supporting and partnering with Africa. The relationship between China and Africa is rooted in mutual respect, shared values, and a common vision for the future.

***Address for Correspondence:** Ghazali Bello Abubakar, Department of Political Science, Sokoto State University, Sokoto, Nigeria, E-mail: alghazel@gmail.com*

Received: 13 December, 2024, Manuscript No. assj-24-156246; **Editor Assigned:** 16 December, 2024, PreQC No. P-156246; **Reviewed:** 27 December, 2024, QC No. Q-156246; **Revised:** 02 January, 2025, Manuscript No. R-156246; **Published:** 09 January, 2025, DOI: 10.37421/2151-6200.2025.16.641

China's commitment to Africa is evident in its robust economic cooperation. Over the years, China has become Africa's largest trading partner, with bilateral trade reaching new heights. China has also been an important source of investment for Africa, contributing to the continent's infrastructure development and economic growth.

Furthermore, China has shown its commitment to Africa through the provision of development assistance. China has been actively involved in providing aid to African countries, particularly in areas such as healthcare, education, and agriculture. The construction of hospitals, schools, and agricultural projects in various African nations has improved the lives of many communities.

In 1969, under the leadership of Mao Zedong, China made a monumental decision to back one of the Africa's most ambitious projects, the construction of the Tanzam railway. This colossal undertaking aimed to forge a crucial link between the Copperbelt region in Zambia and the strategic Port of Dar es Salaam located in Tanzania. The significance of this gesture extended far beyond mere infrastructure development. It was perceived as a robust endorsement of the anti-colonial movements that were gaining momentum across the continent [4].

The Tanzam railway served a pivotal role in altering the geopolitical landscape; it effectively terminated the economic dominance and monopolistic control previously wielded by Rhodesia and South Africa over Zambia, a nation without its own seaports. The financing of this project by China was also strategically aligned with its broader foreign policy objectives. By extending these loans, China sought to not only cement its presence and influence in Africa but also to rally international support for its own political agenda. At the time, China found itself in a precarious position, facing potential threats from several quarters, including Taiwan, the Soviet Union, and the United States. Through projects like the Tanzam railway, China aimed to forge strategic alliances and shore up its defenses against these perceived threats, thereby enhancing its geopolitical footprint and asserting its role as a key player on the global stage.

In the 1990s, Western investment and aid to Africa started to decline due to sluggish domestic economies and the Asian Financial Crisis. This economic downturn enhanced the competitiveness of Asian nations compared to their African counterparts. Subsequently, China emerged as a more favourable financial partner by providing flexible, quick, and substantial loans without imposing strict conditionalities like the Western structural adjustment programs. For many African nations grappling with capital shortages and high unemployment rates, Beijing's financial assistance proved to be timely and beneficial. Former Ethiopian Prime Minister Meles Zenawi, while on an official trip to China in 2002, remarked on the significant economic support that Chinese funding offered to African countries [4].

The Belt and Road Initiative (BRI), unveiled in 2013, has significantly boosted China's investments in Africa, particularly in infrastructure projects such as roads, ports, bridges, and airports. During the 2018 Forum on China-Africa Cooperation, President Xi Jinping pledged an extra US$ 60 billion over three years in concessional loans, grants, and financial support for trade and development in Africa as part of the BRI. As of May 2020, Julie Klinger from the China Africa Research Initiative (CARI) indicated that China had already disbursed approximately $38.7 billion of this commitment [5].

Over the past 22 years, Chinese financial support has fueled construction frenzy in various African nations. Nevertheless, the momentum of financial assistance decelerated after 2016 due to the decrease in commodity prices and GDP growth rates. Chinese loans to African governments peaked at $28.4 billion in 2016, but dropped significantly to $8.2 billion in 2019, and further plummeted to a mere $1.9 billion in 2020, partly attributable to the exceptional circumstances posed by the pandemic. China has amassed a substantial amount of debt throughout Africa, presenting the current challenge of effectively managing these investments amidst economic challenges stemming from the aftermath of the COVID-19 pandemic and the conflict in Ukraine. These factors have escalated the likelihood of payment defaults in certain African countries [1].

According to the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank, by 30th November 2022, 22 low-income African nations were classified as either being in debt distress or facing a high risk of falling into such distress. The IMF defines debt distress as a situation where a country encounters difficulties in fulfilling its debt obligations [6].

When delving into the nuanced arena of policy responses to the pressing issue of African debt distress, it becomes imperative to consciously steer clear of oversimplifying the narrative into one of predatory Chinese 'debt-trap diplomacy' or undue paranoia over asset appropriation. Such a narrow viewpoint not only underplays the significant role and agency that African entities hold in sculpting the contours and impacts of Chinese investments but also blatantly overlooks the considerable diversity inherent in the Chinese approach and motivations behind these investments [7].

It is critical to acknowledge that Chinese investment in Africa has not always followed a coherent or orchestrated plan. Instead, it has often materialized in a somewhat haphazard and disjointed fashion, propelled by diverse lending entities with varying degrees of affiliation to distinct factions within the Chinese governmental and political landscape. This lack of uniformity underscores a broader spectrum of intentions and strategies employed by Chinese investors, which can span the gamut from purely commercial profit motives to more intricate, politically nuanced geostrategic ambitions, greatly influenced by the specific locale and overarching political milieu.

This complex tapestry of motivations and modes of engagement with Africa calls for a more sophisticated and multifaceted understanding of Sino-African economic interactions. It urges policymakers and analysts alike to move beyond reductive tropes and to appreciate the rich variability and strategic depth of Chinese involvement on the African continent, recognizing the active and pivotal role that African actors play in navigating this international economic water [7].

It is evident, though, that the influence of Chinese debt differs significantly throughout the continent, and Chinese loans have a significant impact on only a portion of Africa's 54 countries (excluding Egypt, Nigeria, and South Africa, which are major economies in the region). The lack of transparency in this matter makes it difficult to analyse and measure the overall amount of public debt owed to China, the extent of repayments, and the breakdown of these debts in terms of commercial or official bilateral loans [8].

The rich history of Sino-Africa contacts

China's involvement in African affairs can be traced back to the 1950s, a crucial period when African states were striving for independence from colonial rule. During this time, China actively supported liberation movements that played a pivotal role in the eventual independence of many African countries during the 1960s. Initially, China's support was predominantly driven by ideological motivations, but this would evolve in the following decades [9].

A significant shift in the dynamics of China-Africa relations occurred in the 1980s when China introduced its comprehensive "Opening up and Reform Policy." This policy not only transformed China into the nation we recognize today but also reshaped its relationship with Africa. Rather than solely exporting a specific political philosophy, China's current engagement with Africa is primarily driven by economic and geo-strategic interests. As China's economic and political power grew and its resource needs expanded, the intensity of its relations with Africa also escalated [9].

China is a nation that has firsthand experience of poverty, contrasting with the unprecedented affluence of the industrialized world. This harsh reality and its lingering effects are deeply ingrained in the collective memory of the Chinese populace, regardless of age. Through remarkable transformations over four decades, China, as the home to one- fifth of the global population, has emerged as a major power. The journey, often described as "miraculous," was fueled by the success of the Reform and Opening up Policy, which birthed the renowned "Chinese Model" of wealth creation.

Presently, China appears eager to impart its distinctive growth and development model to developing nations. Consequently, its influence on African politics, governance, and development is steadily increasing. The establishment of the Forum on China-Africa Cooperation (FOCAC) in the early 21st century, accompanied by the heightened involvement of African Heads of State and Government in the Forum, underscores the deepening China-Africa partnership. The unprecedented presence of 42 African Heads of State and Government at the 8th FOCAC gathering in Beijing in 2021 garnered significant attention on the global stage [10].

China's introduction to and re-engagement with Africa coincided with Africa's declining economic performance due to conflicts, mismanagement, and structural adjustment policies. China presented a viable alternative formula for social, political, and economic development in the unipolar world of the 1990s. Frustrated by the intricate policies of donors and the high costs associated with multilateral development projects, African governments have increasingly welcomed the alternative offered by China in an evolving multipolar world.

This deepening relationship has garnered significant attention and sparked ongoing intellectual discussions in Africa, Europe, and North America. Within this broader discourse, politicians, economists, historians, business leaders, journalists, and others have expressed a wide range of viewpoints. Let's delve into some key aspects that characterize the discussion.

Many argue that Chinese policies towards Africa are positioned as inferior compared to the strategies implemented by their Western counterparts. The Chinese trading regime in Africa, particularly its exports to the continent, faces criticism for primarily focusing on consumer goods. This business approach, while seemingly benefiting African consumers by providing affordable goods of decent quality, is also blamed for contributing to increased unemployment as local industries struggle to compete. However, it is worth noting that similar challenges exist in Africa's trade relations with North America and the European Union, where subsidies to farmers and tariff barriers are common practices that hinder the competitiveness of African producers and exporters [11].

Criticism towards Chinese investments in Africa often centers on the perception of opportunism. This critique suggests that Chinese companies tend to target specific niche markets without considering the political climate, often seizing investment opportunities that have been overlooked or abandoned by others. Moreover, Chinese enterprises are seen as more willing to undertake higher financial risks compared to their Western rivals. While Beijing supports the expansion of both private and state-owned Chinese companies in Africa through soft loans and export credits, this approach does not fundamentally differ from the strategies adopted by other governments.

It is indeed a fact that governments and companies from Europe and North America are often willing to turn a blind eye to poor governance and human rights violations, despite their professed commitment to strict regulatory frameworks. This trend is evident in regions such as Angola, Chad, Equatorial-Guinea, Nigeria, and more recently, Eritrea, where the rights for oil and other valuable mineral explorations are in play. It would be hypocritical to hold China and others to higher ethical standards than their competitors.

The 1990s represented a significant era in China's renewed engagement with Africa, characterized by an unprecedented level of involvement on the continent. This period saw a broadening of the relationship across various sectors, encompassing trade, investment, development aid, technology transfer, and capacity building. Both Chinese private enterprises and state-owned companies significantly increased their activities and investments in these areas, while also expanding development aid to numerous African nations. One of the main objectives of this aid was to focus on providing technical assistance and fostering infrastructure development [12].

Contrary to popular belief, China did not impose itself on African governments in the same manner as Western colonial powers who exploited the administrative systems and resources of the countries they colonized. Instead, African leaders viewed closer economic and political ties with China as a pathway to future economic prosperity, given China's rapid achievements within a relatively short timeframe.

Diplomacy in the precolonial era Africa

The continent of Africa is widely recognized as the cradle of humankind, being the environment where early settlements took place. It served as the birthplace of many languages spoken both within and outside the continent today. Through centuries of trades and interactions, Africa became a melting pot of diverse civilizations that crossed its borders [13].

Historical records and documents, along with religious texts, serve as evidence that Africa was also a hub for diplomacy. The cuneiform writing of ancient Egypt reveals that one of the earliest recorded peaceful agreements was between Pharaoh Rameses II of Egypt and the King of the Hittites around 1100 BC. This momentous event left an indelible mark on the history of African diplomacy.

Although Africa has been inhabited for a long time, the advent of iron tools is considered a pivotal moment in African civilization. Iron tools not only improved weaponry but also enabled communities to clear dense forests, cultivate fields for agriculture, and enhance daily life. These tools were instrumental in the flourishing of Africans across various environments, facilitating the development of larger communities and the establishment of states and kingdoms [14].

The emergence of states brought about modern civilizations characterized by shared languages, belief systems, art, religion, lifestyle, and culture. In contrast to many Euro- Asian civilizations, African societies valued oral traditions over written languages. Through stories and oral histories passed down from generation to generation, the rich linguistic heritage of Africa has been both a source of promise and challenge in documenting the continent's pre-colonial history. The reliance on oral narratives has posed difficulties as memories fade and stories evolve with each retelling. In the absence of written records, scholars specializing in Africa have had to think innovatively to uncover new sources for documenting the continent's precolonial era [15].

The comfort and implications of China's loans to Africa

In the past few decades, a notable shift has occurred with China emerging as a principal financier to numerous African nations. This evolution in financial dynamics prompts a series of critical inquiries, particularly concerning China's seemingly reckless exposure to the possibility of debt defaults by African countries. The rationale behind China's willingness to embrace such financial risks is multifaceted and complex, with interpretations ranging from strategic geopolitical maneuvering to accusations of modern-day imperialistic ambitions.

At the heart of this debate is China's aim to assert its influence and challenge the longstanding dominance of the United States and other Western powers in Africa. This ambition has led China to adopt a unique approach to fulfilling African needs, including the provision of loans structured with long-term repayment plans. However, this strategy has been met with skepticism and concerns about the underlying intentions, with some critics suggesting that it could represent a form of neo-colonialism.

A key argument in support of China's lending practices is the potential for mutual economic benefits, particularly in terms of business opportunities for Chinese companies. Analyst Yun Sun has provided a nuanced perspective on the situation, emphasizing that Chinese development finance is designed to serve dual objectives. On one hand, it seeks to aid recipient African nations through infrastructure development; on the other hand, it strategically benefits China by prioritizing Chinese firms—especially those owned by the state—in these projects. This approach not only facilitates China's "Going Out" strategy, aimed at expanding its international presence but also secures employment for Chinese workers and enhances China's global economic footprint.

Such deliberations shed light on the complexity of China's financial engagements in Africa, revealing a landscape where geopolitical ambitions, economic strategies, and the specter of neocolonialism intertwine. The implications of these developments are profound, warranting careful examination and open dialogue to fully understand their impact on the future of African sovereignty and development [16].

The current arrangement has ignited significant apprehension among numerous African communities. It fosters a situation in which African nations find themselves increasingly indebted to China. In a concerning twist, China then remunerates itself by securing lucrative contracting opportunities within Africa. This cycle not only places Africa in a precarious financial position but also raises questions about the sustainability and equity of such engagements [17].

Sun further asserts that a significant portion of China's financial support to Africa is aimed at ensuring access to the continent's valuable natural resources. This is achieved through the provision of infrastructure funded by loans backed by commodities. Many African nations with poor credit ratings and difficulties in securing loans from the global financial market benefit from this arrangement.

By allowing repayment in the form of resources, often through extraction facilitated by the provided infrastructure, Chinese resource- backed loans offer a practical solution to the funding challenges faced by these countries.

In the perspective of Sun, it is evident that China has come to comprehend that many African nations are perceived with low credit ratings within the global financial systems. This understanding highlights the inherent challenges these countries face in securing funding through the conventional avenues dominated by Western entities in international financial markets. Consequently, the provision of Chinese infrastructure loans emerges as a strategic alternative, circumventing the financial constraints traditionally faced by African governments in the global marketplace. This innovative approach allows for the repayment of these loans through the strategic extraction of natural resources, offering a viable solution to the financial impasse encountered in seeking development funds on the international stage [18].

In 2020, the World Bank identified seven African countries - Angola, Djibouti, Kenya, the Republic of Congo, Zambia, South Africa, and Côte d'Ivoire - as facing debt distress or being at risk of it due to Chinese lending. The complexity of some loan agreements has led to a focused analysis on individual country cases to evaluate the costs and benefits of Chinese loans and their societal repercussions. These countries, collectively, provide a representative cross-section of the continent in terms of geographical location, size, economic structures, and governance dynamics. While not conclusive, they offer valuable insights into the evolution of Chinese infrastructure lending in Africa and the challenging issue of African debt distress.

The inception of Chinese loans to Africa dates to around 1960, starting with financial assistance to Guinea for economic ventures and trade, such as establishing a cigarette and match factory. After this, in 1963, Algeria received a loan for purchasing arms, medical supplies, and for military training to resist French imperialism. In 1969, Chinese leader Mao Zedong sanctioned funding for one of Africa's major infrastructure endeavors - the Tanzam railway line. This railway connected Zambia's Copper belt with the Port of Dar es Salaam in Tanzania, aiming to break the monopoly of Rhodesia and South Africa over landlocked Zambia's port access. Beyond aiding economic development, these loans were strategic moves by China to garner international backing against perceived adversaries like Taiwan, the Soviet Union, and the United States, as African nations had shown solidarity in anti-colonial movements.

When China sets its sights on relatively well-run countries, it finds itself in a direct rivalry with other foreign investors and domestic companies. This is most evident in South Africa, where a combination of a stable domestic economy, fierce local competition, political pressure to prioritize South African jobs, and a commitment to government control in key sectors, especially infrastructure, has left little room for Chinese investments. However, while there are indications that Chinese lending behaviour has evolved over time – moving away from the riskier lending practices in resource-rich nations towards more conventional and cautious economic choices – there are also indications that China is willing to make exceptions for the strategically significant African nations.

The impacts on the economy

The Chinese loans exhibit a low correlation coefficient, which, albeit not negative, suggests that they may not be inherently detrimental to a country. On one hand, these loans appear to stimulate economic growth to some extent; however, on the other hand, they cannot be unequivocally seen as wholly beneficial either. While the significance of these findings may be debated due to being outside the realm of statistical importance, they are not economically irrelevant by any means.

Chinese lending surged during a period of rapid development in Africa. Following the peak in Chinese lending commitments to non-Angolan countries in 2013, the IMF projected that Sub-Saharan Africa would maintain a growth rate of 5.5 percent in 2014. Yet, as early as May 2014, IMF Managing Director Christine Lagarde astutely cautioned that the narrative of "Africa rising" might be jeopardized. She advised governments to proceed with vigilance and refrain from burdening their nations with excessive debt. The plummeting oil prices from US$100 per barrel in 2014 to just US$44 per barrel in 2016, as well as the corresponding decline in copper prices, compounded the challenges.

By 2016, the growth rate in the African region had slumped to 1.4 percent, marking the lowest rate since 1995. While some countries such as Senegal, Ethiopia, and Kenya continued to demonstrate robust economic growth, others grappled with issues like political turmoil, civil unrest, and shrinking economies due to the decline in commodity prices. Nevertheless, prior to the onset of the COVID-19 crisis in 2019, experts at Brookings contended that concerns were exaggerated. Despite certain countries facing hardships, the specter of an African sovereign debt crisis seemed distant. Even amidst the economic downturn triggered by the pandemic, the situation remains nuanced. Nations reliant on tourism or commodities experiencing reduced demand face higher risks compared to those without such revenue sources. While many countries confront a liquidity crunch, not all are on the brink of insolvency.

By the year 2017, a mere five percent of the public and publicly guaranteed debt in Sub- Saharan Africa was attributed to the Paris Club, a marked decrease that highlights a significant shift in the region's financial landscape. In the void left by traditional lenders, private financiers from affluent nations eagerly stepped in, captivated by the allure of a continent that, despite its challenges, promised substantial returns on investment. This period saw an unprecedented movement in the African financial sector, with over fifteen countries venturing into the international bond market by issuing foreign currency sovereign bonds, many for the very first occasion. Notably, in 2018 alone, eight of these nations boldly issued 30-year bonds, signalling their long-term confidence in their economic prospects.

However, it wasn't just the private sector from wealthy nations that saw opportunity amidst the financial dynamics of Sub-Saharan Africa; Chinese lenders emerged as pivotal players in filling the financial void. The data from the World Bank's International Debt Statistics for 2017 reveals a striking figure, official bilateral credits from China constituted a staggering 62 percent of all bilateral official credits, translating to roughly 23 percent of the total public and publicly guaranteed debt within the region. The year 2018 further underscored China's dominant lending role, especially among the 40 low-income African countries, where Chinese debt accounted for 60 percent of bilateral lending and 17 percent of the overall Public and Publicly Guaranteed (PPG) debt among these nations. It's also crucial to note the involvement of Chinese commercial banks in this financial equation. Despite being state-owned, these banks are classified as non-official private creditors within this context, yet they play a significant role in shaping the debt landscape of Sub- Saharan Africa.

This intricate web of financial relationships underscores a significant evolution in the sources of debt financing within Sub-Saharan Africa, with China and private lenders from wealthy nations filling the spaces once dominated by traditional Western lenders. The implications of this shift are vast, influencing not only the economic stability of the region but also its geopolitical dynamics and the future trajectory of its development.

China currently holds the position of the largest creditor in Africa, a fact that has sparked mixed reactions. While some African nations appreciate the efficiency and friendliness of Chinese lenders compared to their western counterparts, there remains a significant lack of transparency regarding the terms of these loans. Critics, among them former U.S. Vice President Mike Pence, go as far as to allege that Chinese lenders are deliberately pushing impoverished countries into insurmountable debt through what is known as a "debt trap" strategy. This strategy involves providing substantial loans for infrastructure projects that do not generate sufficient revenue to repay the loans, thereby granting China increased global influence and even control over strategic assets such as ports. Instances like the handover of a Sri Lankan port to a Chinese company due to loan repayment issues are frequently cited to support this argument [19].

Nevertheless, the lack of effective coordination among Chinese lending institutions, their inclination towards limited transparency in loan agreements, and their oversight of local governance structures have all played a role in the debt distress situation. Additionally, China's significant investments in certain African economies, coupled with its influential position in global economic governance, position it favorably to play a key role in addressing Africa's debt challenges [18].

Schwab in his analysis raises concerns over China's intentions in Africa, suggesting a nefarious agenda primarily focused on exploiting the continent's abundant natural resources [20]. This perspective is not unique; Anshan L

[21] echoes these sentiments, arguing that China's involvement in Africa is a contemporary form of colonialism designed to elevate its status on the global stage, at the expense of African nations. The narrative that China's billion-dollar investments in Africa serve more to access its vast natural resources rather than benefiting the local populations is further supported by a report from Al- Jazeera in 2014. This form of engagement suggests a transactional relationship where the rich tapestry of African resources is exchanged for financial aid, often leaving the local populations in a disadvantaged position.

Moreover, Hodzi O [22] sheds light on a nuanced aspect of this dynamic, highlighting how Africa's political elites seem to garner more benefits from Chinese loans than the broader populace, further exacerbating the imbalance of power and wealth within these countries. This scenario paints a rather grim picture of China's involvement in Africa, framing it within the larger context of neo-colonialism, where economic and political strategies are employed to maintain or extend influence over other nations, particularly in the guise of foreign aid or investment. The critique offered by these scholars and reports collectively underscores a critical need to reevaluate the nature of China's partnerships in Africa, aiming to ensure that such engagements foster mutual growth and prosperity rather than unilateral exploitation.

Conclusion

Based on substantial evidence, the impact of Chinese loans in Africa is much more complex than commonly assumed. Several researchers have come across similar challenges when studying Chinese loans, which go against traditional beliefs. For instance, Enuka argues that China has strategically deployed its military forces to various regions in Africa, primarily to secure its burgeoning demands for essential minerals and oil. This military intervention became notably evident when the security of the oilfields in Kordofan was under imminent threat, prompting the deployment of Chinese troops to meticulously safeguard these critical economic assets. Beyond the military presence, China's approach to extending loans to African nations has been a deliberate tactical move to bolster its influence and further its interests across the continent.

Detailed scrutiny reveals that the ramifications of China's loans to African countries are predominantly negative. This stance is informed by the observation that while these loans are presented as opportunities for development, they often come with strings attached that serve to enhance China's strategic interests at the expense of Africa's autonomy and long-term development prospects. Moreover, the prevailing model of debt repayment, which commonly involves barter trade—essentially repaying loans with mineral resources—is particularly alarming. This practice undermines the economic sovereignty of African nations and perpetuates a cycle of dependency that is detrimental to the continent's sustainable development.

Considering these findings, it is imperative for Africa to champion solutions that are conceived and led by Africans, tailored to address the unique challenges and opportunities within the continent. This encompasses a wide spectrum of sectors, including but not limited to infrastructure development, where the focus must shift towards sustainable and equitable growth models. Furthermore, there is an urgent need to outlaw and vehemently denounce the practice of repaying loans through mineral resources. Such actions are not only exploitative but also fundamentally unacceptable, as they contravene the principles of fair trade and undermine the economic integrity of African nations.

In conclusion, while the engagement of external partners can play a role in Africa's developmental agenda, it is crucial that such partnerships are grounded in mutual respect, equity, and the overarching goal of advancing the continent's interests. The reliance on African-led initiatives and solutions stands as the most viable path towards achieving sustainable development and true economic independence for Africa.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Golley, Jane, Linda Jaivin and Sharon Strange. "Chinese Loans to Africa: Trap or Treasure?." Crisis (2021).
2. Brautigam, Deborah. "Chinese loans and African structural transformation." China-Africa Econom Transform (2019): 129.
3. https://www.rba.gov.au/publications/rdp/2010/pdf/rdp2010-08.pdf
4. Shinn, David H. "Ethiopia and China: Two former empires connect in the 20th century." *Int J Ethiop* 8 (2014): 149-164.
5. Klinger, Julie. "China, Africa, and the rest: Recent trends in space science, technology, and satellite development." (2020).
6. https://www.imf.org/en/Topics/low-income-countries
7. Scobell, Andrew, Edmund J. Burke, Cortez A. Cooper III and Sale Lilly, et al. "China's grand strategy: Trends, trajectories, and long-term competition." *Rand Corporation* (2020).
8. Mutai, Noah Cheruiyot, Nguyen Manh Cuong, Valdrin Dervishaj and Joyce Wangui Kiarie, et al. "Examining the sustainability of African debt owed to China in the context of debt-trap diplomacy." *Sci African* 24 (2024): e02164.
9. Vines, A. and J. Wallace. China–Africa relations, Chatham House–International Affairs. Think Tank (2023).
10. http://www.focac.org.cn/focacdakar/eng/ttxx_3/202111/t20211130_10458790.htm
11. Stein, Peter and Emil Uddhammar. "China in Africa: The Role of Trade, Investments and Loans Amidst Shifting Geopolitical Ambitions." (2021).
12. Dollar, David. "China's Engagement with Africa from Natural Resources to Human Resources." (2016).
13. Graeber, David and David Wengrow. "The dawn of everything: A new history of humanity." *Penguin* (2021).
14. Strayer, Robert W. and Eric Nelson. Ways of the world: A brief global history. Bedford/St. Martin's (2009).
15. Falola, Toyin and Tyler Fleming. "African civilizations: From the pre-colonial to the modern day." *World Civiliz History Human Develop* 1 (2009): 123-140.
16. Sun, Yun. "China's aid to Africa: Monster or messiah." Brookings East Asia Commentary 75 (2014).
17. Were, Anzetse. "Debt trap? Chinese loans and Africa's development options." (2018).
18. Vines, Alex, Creon Butler and Yu Jie. "The response to debt distress in Africa and the role of China: Exploring solutions to African debt distress through multilateral cooperation." (2022).
19. Gangte, Lammuansiam. "The debt-trap diplomacy revisited: A case study on Sri Lanka's Hambantota port." *Artha J Soc Sci* 19 (2020): 53-66.
20. https://tanzania.fes.de/fileadmin/user_upload/pdf/FES_Briefing_Paper_China_and_Africa_-_Continuing_an_Unequal_Relationship__Benjamin_Schwab_.pdf
21. Anshan, Li. "China and Africa: Policy and challenges." (2007).
22. Hodzi, Obert. "China and Africa: economic growth and a non-transformative political elite." *J Contemp Afr Stud* 36 (2018): 191-206.

How to cite this article: Abubakar, Ghazali Bello. "The Chinese Loan and its Impacts on African Economy: A Strategic Solution or a Potential Trap?." *Arts Social Sci J* 16 (2025): 641.