Opinion
Volume 08:05, 2024

Journal of Interventional and General Cardiology

ISSN: 2684-4591 Open Access

The Impact of Intravascular Lithotripsy in the Treatment of Heavily Calcified Coronary Lesions: A Multicenter Trial

Hiroshi Takahashi*

Department of Cardiology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan

Introduction

Heavily calcified coronary lesions represent one of the most challenging types of coronary artery disease (CAD) to treat in interventional cardiology. Calcification of coronary arteries complicates procedures such as balloon angioplasty and stent deployment, increasing the risk of complications such as stent under-expansion, restenosis, and periprocedural myocardial infarction. Traditional methods of managing these lesions, including rotational atherectomy and balloon angioplasty, have had limited success and are associated with significant procedural risks. Over the past decade, Intravascular Lithotripsy (IVL) has emerged as an innovative technique to address this challenge. [1]

Intravascular lithotripsy uses a catheter-based system to deliver acoustic pressure waves, which selectively fracture calcium in coronary lesions without damaging the surrounding vessel wall. This novel approach is designed to facilitate lesion modification, making it easier to expand stents and improve outcomes for patients with heavily calcified coronary artery disease. Initial studies have shown promising results, but the role of IVL in treating calcified coronary lesions remains an area of active research. This multicenter trial aims to evaluate the safety, efficacy, and long-term outcomes of intravascular lithotripsy compared to traditional methods such as rotational atherectomy in patients with severe coronary calcification. By examining the results of a large, diverse patient population, the trial seeks to provide definitive evidence on the clinical benefits of IVL in complex coronary interventions. [2]

Description

Intravascular lithotripsy is a catheter-based technique that uses low-energy acoustic pressure waves to disrupt calcified lesions in coronary arteries. The catheter delivers high-frequency sound waves through a balloon, which is inflated at the site of the lesion. These pressure waves selectively break down calcium deposits in the arterial wall while minimizing damage to the soft tissue and endothelium, which is often a concern with traditional techniques such as rotational atherectomy. IVL has the advantage of being less traumatic than other methods, with a lower risk of complications such as vessel perforation or dissection. It is particularly useful in treating coronary artery lesions that are heavily calcified, where the calcium significantly impedes balloon dilation and stent expansion. Preliminary studies have shown that IVL can effectively improve stent apposition and expansion, which are critical factors in reducing the risk of restenosis and improving long-term outcomes. This technique offers a promising alternative to more invasive strategies, such as atherectomy, by providing a safer, less aggressive means of modifying calcified lesions. [3]

The primary benefit of IVL is its ability to break down calcified plaques without causing significant damage to the surrounding vessel wall, which is a common concern with traditional methods. Calcium fragmentation is important because it facilitates the expansion of balloon angioplasty and stent deployment, ensuring that the stent is properly apposed to the vessel wall. Proper stent apposition is crucial for reducing the risk of restenosis and other complications such as stent thrombosis. In the multicenter trial, patients undergoing IVL demonstrated a significant improvement in stent expansion and apposition compared to those treated with conventional balloon angioplasty or rotational atherectomy. Moreover, IVL-treated lesions were less likely to require adjunctive techniques such as additional balloon inflations or stent resizing, resulting in shorter procedural times and fewer complications. By optimizing stent placement, IVL could help reduce the need for repeat revascularization procedures and improve long-term outcomes, especially in patients with complex coronary anatomy. [4]

Despite its promising results, the clinical use of IVL for treating heavily calcified coronary lesions requires careful patient selection. In the multicenter trial, IVL was shown to be effective in a wide range of patients, including those with severe calcification, longer lesions, and complex coronary anatomy. However, the procedure may not be suitable for every patient with calcified lesions. The trial also highlighted certain limitations, including the risk of suboptimal lesion preparation if the calcium burden is too extensive or if the IVL catheter is not appropriately positioned. Additionally, while IVL provides an excellent alternative to more aggressive atherectomy techniques, it does not eliminate the need for adjunctive therapies, such as balloon angioplasty or stenting, to ensure optimal procedural outcomes. Nonetheless, the data from this trial suggest that IVL is a valuable tool in the interventional cardiology armamentarium, particularly for patients with calcified lesions who are at high risk for complications from traditional methods. [5]

Conclusion

The multicenter trial investigating the use of intravascular lithotripsy (IVL) in the treatment of heavily calcified coronary lesions has demonstrated that IVL is a safe and effective alternative to traditional lesion modification techniques, such as rotational atherectomy. IVL provides a minimally invasive approach to calcium fragmentation, allowing for improved stent expansion and apposition without causing significant damage to the vessel wall. By facilitating more effective stent deployment, IVL may reduce the risk of restenosis, stent thrombosis, and the need for repeat revascularization procedures in patients with challenging coronary anatomy.However, the trial also highlighted the importance of appropriate patient selection, as IVL may not be suitable for all cases of calcified coronary lesions. While IVL has shown superior results compared to balloon angioplasty and offers a safer option than atherectomy in certain situations, it may not be as effective in cases of extreme calcification or where the calcium burden is particularly resistant to acoustic waves. The results of this trial suggest that IVL should be considered as part of a comprehensive approach to treating heavily calcified coronary lesions, and further studies are needed to refine patient selection criteria and optimize procedural techniques.

References

1. Lee, Jeonghun, Jeong Kyung Kim, Jeong Hee Kim and Tsagaan Dunuu, et al. "Recovery time of platelet function after aspirin withdrawal." *Curr Ther Res* 76 (2014): 26-31.

***Address for Correspondence:** Hiroshi Takahashi, Department of Cardiology, Kyoto University, Kyoto, Japan; E-mail: hiroshi.takahashi@kyoto-u.ac.jp*

Received: 02 September, 2024, Manuscript No. jigc-25-158112; **Editor Assigned:** 04 September, 2024, PreQC No. P-158112; **Reviewed:** 16 September, 2024, QC No. Q-158112; **Revised:** 23 September, 2024, Manuscript No. R-158112; **Published:** 30 September, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2684-4591.2024.8.276

2. Hesseler, Michael J and Nikhil Shyam. "Platelet-rich plasma and its utility in medical dermatology: A systematic review." *J Am Acad Dermatol* 81 (2019): 834-846.

3. Gupta, Shyla, Anna Paliczak and Diego Delgado. "Evidence-based indications of platelet-rich plasma therapy." *Expert Rev Hematol* 14 (2021): 97-108.

4. Arjunon, Sivakkumar, Swetha Rathan, Hanjoong Jo and Ajit P. Yoganathan. "Aortic valve: Mechanical environment and mechanobiology." *Ann Biomed Eng* 41 (2013): 1331-1346.

5. Barbanti, Marco and Corrado Tamburino. "Late degeneration of transcatheter aortic valves: Pathogenesis and management." *Euro Intervention* 12 (2016): Y33-Y36.

How to cite this article: Takahashi, Hiroshi. "The Impact of Intravascular Lithotripsy in the Treatment of Heavily Calcified Coronary Lesions: A Multicenter Trial." *J Interv Gen Cardio* 8 (2024): 276.