

The Interaction between Incidental Deep Vein Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism in Cancer Patients

Giampalmo Nazerian*

Department of Critical Care Unit, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2B4, Canada

Introduction

Cancer patients are at an increased risk of developing thromboembolic complications due to several factors associated with the malignancy, such as cancer treatments, the nature of the tumor itself and the patient's overall clinical condition. Two common thromboembolic conditions that can occur in this population are Deep Vein Thrombosis (DVT) and Pulmonary Embolism (PE), with the former sometimes leading to the latter. Both of these conditions can complicate the clinical course of cancer, leading to worse outcomes if not properly managed. However, in many cases, DVT is detected incidentally, often during imaging studies performed for other reasons, while PE can be a life-threatening manifestation of undiagnosed or unrecognized DVT. This delves into the interaction between incidental Deep Vein Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism in cancer patients, exploring the pathophysiology, risk factors, clinical presentation, diagnostic challenges and therapeutic approaches. Additionally, it addresses the clinical implications of these conditions when they occur together in cancer patients and the importance of a multifaceted approach to management [1].

Description

The Venous Thromboembolism (VTE) process begins with the formation of a clot, or thrombus, typically in the deep veins of the legs or pelvis. DVT occurs when a thrombus forms in these veins and impedes blood flow. In cancer patients, factors such as immobilization, chemotherapy and surgery contribute to the increased likelihood of clot formation. DVT can be asymptomatic or present with symptoms such as swelling, pain and redness in the affected leg. In some instances, a fragment of the thrombus may break loose, travel through the venous system and eventually lodge in the pulmonary vasculature, causing Pulmonary Embolism. PE occurs when a blood clot, typically originating from DVT in the lower extremities, travels to the lungs, blocking a pulmonary artery or one of its branches. This blockage leads to ventilation-perfusion mismatch, resulting in impaired gas exchange, which can range from asymptomatic to severe respiratory distress or sudden death, depending on the extent of embolization. Incidental detection of DVT refers to the identification of a thrombus in a patient undergoing imaging for reasons other than suspected venous thromboembolism. In cancer patients, incidental DVT is common due to the routine use of imaging modalities like CT scans or MRI, which are typically employed for staging, monitoring treatment responses, or detecting metastasis [2].

The high incidence of incidental DVT in cancer patients underscores the need for careful management. Although some cases of DVT may be asymptomatic and clinically insignificant, others can be of major concern,

particularly if they result in a PE or are symptomatic. The fact that DVT is often asymptomatic in cancer patients makes it challenging to detect clinically, thus increasing the importance of imaging in early detection. Cancer patients are inherently predisposed to developing DVT and PE due to a combination of prothrombotic factors intrinsic to the malignancy and its treatments. Tumor-Related Factors: Certain cancers, particularly those involving the pancreas, brain, lung and gastrointestinal tract, are more likely to be associated with thrombosis. Malignant cells can release pro-coagulant substances such as tissue factor, which initiates clotting pathways and increases the risk of venous thromboembolism [3].

The clinical presentation of incidental DVT in cancer patients varies depending on the site of the thrombus and whether or not it leads to PE. As mentioned, many cases of DVT are asymptomatic, with patients being unaware of their condition until imaging reveals the clot. Symptomatic DVT typically presents with pain, swelling, redness and warmth in the affected extremity. If left untreated, it can lead to complications such as chronic venous insufficiency or a significant PE. Pulmonary embolism is a much more dramatic event and typically presents with acute symptoms, including shortness of breath, chest pain, tachypnea, tachycardia and sometimes hemoptysis. The severity of symptoms depends on the size of the clot and the extent of pulmonary vascular obstruction. In some cases, PE can lead to sudden death, particularly if large emboli occlude major pulmonary arteries. Diagnosing DVT and PE in cancer patients involves a combination of clinical assessment, imaging studies and laboratory tests. The most common diagnostic approach includes the following: Non-invasive duplex ultrasonography is the gold standard for diagnosing DVT. It is highly sensitive in detecting thrombus in the lower extremities. CT Pulmonary Angiography (CTPA) imaging modality is often used to detect PE and is considered the gold standard for diagnosis in suspected cases of pulmonary embolism [4,5].

Conclusion

The interaction between incidental DVT and pulmonary embolism in cancer patients is a complex clinical issue that requires careful attention. While DVT can be detected incidentally through imaging studies, its potential to progress to PE makes it a serious concern in cancer care. Given the increased risk of thrombosis in cancer patients due to tumor-related, treatment-related and host factors, clinicians must adopt a proactive approach to diagnosis and management. Early detection, appropriate anticoagulation therapy and vigilant monitoring are essential to preventing PE and improving outcomes for cancer patients at risk for venous thromboembolism. Ultimately, addressing the complexities of DVT and PE in this vulnerable population can reduce morbidity and mortality, enhancing quality of life and survival prospects for cancer patients.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

*Address for Correspondence: Giampalmo Nazerian, Department of Critical Care Unit, McGill University, Montreal, QC H3A 2B4, Canada, E-mail: azerianiampalmo.gian@ian.ca

Copyright: © 2024 Nazerian G. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the creative commons attribution license which permits unrestricted use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 December, 2024, Manuscript No. jprm-25-158808; Editor assigned: 04 December, 2024, PreQC No. P-158808; Reviewed: 16 December, 2024, QC No. Q-158808; Revised: 21 December, 2024, Manuscript No. R-158808; Published: 28 December, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2161-105X.2024.14.713

References

1. Gale andrew J. and Stuart G. Gordon. "Update on tumor cell procoagulant factors." *Acta Haematol* 106 (2001): 25-32.
2. den Exter, Paul L., Vicente Gómez, David Jiménez and Javier Trujillo-Santos,. "A clinical prognostic model for the identification of low-risk patients with acute symptomatic pulmonary embolism and active cancer." *Chest* 143 (2013): 138-145.
3. Flegal, Katherine M., Brian K. Kit, Heather Orpana and Barry I. Graubard. "Association of all-cause mortality with overweight and obesity using standard body mass index categories: A systematic review and meta-analysis." *Jama* 309 (2013): 71-82.
4. Raskob, Gary E., Nick Van Es, Peter Verhamme and Marc Carrier, et al. "Edoxaban for the treatment of cancer-associated venous thromboembolism." *N Engl J Med* 378 (2018): 615-624.
5. Mulder, Frits I., Marcello Di Nisio, Cihan Ay and Marc Carrier, et al. "Clinical implications of incidental venous thromboembolism in cancer patients." *Eur Respir J* 55 (2020).

How to cite this article: Nazerian, Giampalmo. "The Interaction between Incidental Deep Vein Thrombosis and Pulmonary Embolism in Cancer Patients." *J Pulm Respir Med* 14 (2024): 713.