

Toxicity Weighting for Assessing Risks from Chemical Mixtures in Human Biomonitoring: A Proof of Concept

Zsolt Csenki*

Department of Environmental Toxicology, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1, Hungary

Introduction

Human biomonitoring is a crucial tool for evaluating exposure to environmental and occupational chemicals. It involves measuring chemicals or their metabolites in biological samples like blood, urine, or hair to assess human exposure levels. Given the complexity of real-world chemical exposure, where individuals are often exposed to mixtures rather than single substances, assessing the risk associated with such exposures becomes challenging. Traditional risk assessments typically focus on individual chemicals, but this approach falls short when addressing the potential cumulative effects of chemical mixtures. In response to these challenges, the concept of toxicity weighting for chemical mixtures has emerged as a promising method for more accurately assessing risks [1]. This approach involves assigning weights to different chemicals based on their toxicity profiles and integrating these weights to evaluate the overall risk of exposure to a mixture. The purpose of this article is to explore the proof of concept for toxicity weighting in the context of human biomonitoring and its potential implications for risk assessment.

Description

Human biomonitoring provides valuable insights into the extent and nature of chemical exposures in populations. It allows researchers and policymakers to track exposure trends over time, identify high-risk groups, and evaluate the effectiveness of regulatory measures. Biomonitoring studies typically measure concentrations of chemicals in biological samples and compare these levels against established health benchmarks.

Challenges in mixture risk assessment

Traditional risk assessment methods are designed for single substances, which makes them inadequate for assessing risks from chemical mixtures. Chemicals can interact synergistically, antagonistically, or additively, complicating the prediction of their combined effects. This challenge is compounded by the variability in individual responses to chemicals based on factors such as genetics, age, and health status.

Several approaches have been proposed to address these challenges:

Concentration Addition (CA) model: Assumes that the effects of a mixture are equal to the sum of the effects of individual chemicals.

Response Addition (RA) model: Assumes that the effects are the result of combining the responses of individual chemicals, accounting for their interactions.

Quantitative Structure-Activity Relationship (QSAR) models: Use computational methods to predict the combined effects based on chemical structure and biological activity.

***Address for Correspondence:** Zsolt Csenki, Department of Environmental Toxicology, Hungarian University of Agriculture and Life Sciences, Páter Károly u. 1, Hungary, E-mail: CsenkiZsolt@gmail.com

Copyright: © 2024 Csenki Z. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Received: 02 July, 2024, Manuscript No. jeat-24-145639; **Editor Assigned:** 04 July, 2024, PreQC No. P-145639; **Reviewed:** 17 July, 2024, QC No. Q-145639; **Revised:** 23 July, 2024, Manuscript No. R-145639; **Published:** 30 July, 2024, DOI: 10.37421/2161-0525.2024.14.779

Despite these models, there is a need for more robust methods that integrate toxicity data effectively for practical application in biomonitoring.

Toxicity weighting

Toxicity weighting involves assigning weights to chemicals based on their relative toxicity. This concept builds on the idea that not all chemicals in a mixture contribute equally to the overall risk [2]. By weighting chemicals according to their toxicological profiles, researchers can estimate the combined risk of exposure more accurately. Several methodologies are used to determine toxicity weights:

Reference Dose (RfD) and Benchmark Dose (BMD): RfD and BMD values represent levels of exposure at which no adverse effects are expected. Weights can be derived based on these values, with more toxic chemicals receiving higher weights.

Hazard Index (HI): The HI approach aggregates exposure levels across chemicals and compares them to reference values to determine potential risk.

Toxicity Equivalency Factor (TEF): TEFs are used to estimate the combined toxicity of dioxin-like chemicals by converting their concentrations into equivalent amounts of a reference compound [3]. These methods can be adapted to the context of biomonitoring by incorporating real exposure data and adjusting for different exposure routes and durations.

Proof of concept studies

Recent research has demonstrated the feasibility of applying toxicity weighting in human biomonitoring. For example, a study applied toxicity weighting to a mixture of persistent organic pollutants (POPs) in human blood samples. The study used TEFs to estimate the combined toxicity of these pollutants and compared the results with health outcomes. Another study explored the use of toxicity weighting in occupational settings, where workers are exposed to multiple chemicals. The research highlighted how toxicity weighting could provide a more nuanced understanding of exposure risks and inform better protective measures. The application of toxicity weighting in human biomonitoring represents a significant advancement in risk assessment [4,5]. By integrating toxicity data, this approach offers several advantages. Toxicity weighting provides a more accurate representation of risk by accounting for the varying toxicological profiles of different chemicals. Integrating toxicity weights can improve the predictive power of risk assessments, leading to more effective public health interventions. This approach helps prioritize chemicals and exposure sources based on their relative toxicity, allowing for more efficient allocation of resources.

Conclusion

Toxicity weighting represents a promising approach to improving the assessment of risks associated with chemical mixtures in human biomonitoring. By incorporating toxicity data and assigning relative weights to chemicals, this method offers a more nuanced understanding of exposure risks and supports more effective public health interventions. While there are limitations and challenges associated with toxicity weighting, ongoing research and advancements in this field hold the potential to address these issues and enhance the accuracy of risk assessments. Future efforts should focus on expanding toxicity databases, accounting for individual variability, and developing advanced modeling techniques to fully realize the benefits of toxicity weighting. In summary, the proof of concept for toxicity weighting

in human biomonitoring highlights its potential to advance risk assessment and improve public health outcomes. Continued research and refinement of this approach will be essential for addressing the complex nature of chemical mixtures and ensuring the protection of human health.

Acknowledgement

None.

Conflict of Interest

None.

References

1. Hays, Sean M., Kristin Macey, Andy Nong, and Lesa L. Aylward. "Biomonitoring equivalents for selenium." *RTP* 70 (2014): 333-339.
2. Lange, Rosa, Nina Vogel, Philipp Schmidt and Antje Gerofke, et al. "Cumulative risk assessment of five phthalates in European children and adolescents." *J Hyg Environ* 246 (2022): 114052.

3. Hackethal, Christin, Ulrike Pabel, Christian Jung and Tanja Schwerdtle, et al. "Chronic dietary exposure to total arsenic, inorganic arsenic and water-soluble organic arsenic species based on results of the first German total diet study." *Sci Total Environ* 859 (2023): 160261.
4. Kortenkamp, Andreas, Martin Scholze, Sibylle Ermler and Lærke Priskorn, et al. "Combined exposures to bisphenols, polychlorinated dioxins, paracetamol, and phthalates as drivers of deteriorating semen quality." *Environ Int* 165 (2022): 107322.
5. Apel, Petra, Jürgen Angerer, Michael Wilhelm, and Marike Kolossa-Gehring. "New HBM values for emerging substances, inventory of reference and HBM values in force, and working principles of the German Human Biomonitoring Commission." *J Hyg Environ* 220 (2017): 152-166.

How to cite this article: Csenki, Zsolt. "Toxicity Weighting for Assessing Risks from Chemical Mixtures in Human Biomonitoring: A Proof of Concept." *J Environ Anal Toxicol* 14 (2024): 779.